# Measuring Life Events and Their Association With Clinical Disorder: A Protocol for Development of an Online Approach

Ruth Spence<sup>1</sup>, PhD; Amanda Bunn<sup>1</sup>, BA(soc); Stephen Nunn<sup>2</sup>, BSc, PhD; Georgina M Hosang<sup>3</sup>, BSc, MSc, PhD; Lisa Kagan<sup>1</sup>, DClinPsy; Helen L Fisher<sup>4</sup>, BSc, MSc, PhD; Matthew Taylor<sup>4</sup>, BM BCh, DPhil; Antonia Bifulco<sup>1</sup>, BA, PGDip (Soc), PhD

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Abuse and Trauma Studies, Middlesex University, London, United Kingdom

<sup>2</sup>Department of Psychology, Middlesex University, London, United Kingdom

- <sup>3</sup>Department of Psychology, Goldsmiths University of London, London, United Kingdom
- <sup>4</sup>Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, London, United Kingdom

# **Corresponding Author:**

Ruth Spence, PhD Centre for Abuse and Trauma Studies Middlesex University The Burroughs London, NW4 4BT United Kingdom Phone: 44 208411 ext 4116 Fax: 44 2084115000 Email: <u>r.spence@mdx.ac.uk</u>

# Abstract

**Background:** Severe life events are acknowledged as important etiological factors in the development of clinical disorders, including major depression. Interview methods capable of assessing context and meaning of events have demonstrated superior validity compared with checklist questionnaire methods and arguments for interview approaches have resurfaced because choosing the appropriate assessment tool provides clarity of information about gene-environment interactions in depression. Such approaches also have greater potential for understanding and treating clinical cases or for use in interventions.

**Objective:** (1) To argue that life events need sophisticated measurement not satisfactorily captured in checklist approaches. (2) To review life-events measures and key findings related to disorder, exemplifying depression. (3) To describe an ongoing study with a new online measure and to assess its psychometric properties and the association of life events in relation to disorder and educational outcomes.

**Methods:** The Computerised Life Events Assessment Record (CLEAR) is under development as a tool for online assessment of adult life events. Based on the Life Events and Difficulties Schedule interview, CLEAR seeks to assess life events to self and close others, link these to other events and difficulties, and utilize calendar-based timing, to improve upon checklist approaches.

**Results:** The CLEAR study is in the preliminary stages and its results are expected to be made available by the end of 2015.

**Conclusions:** There is currently no sophisticated technological application of social risk factor assessment, such as life events and difficulties. CLEAR is designed to gather reliable and valid life-event data while combating the limitations of interviews (eg, time consuming and costly) and life-event checklists (eg, inability to accurately measure severity and independence of life events). The advantages of using such innovative methodology for research, clinical practice, and interventions are discussed.

#### (JMIR Res Protoc 2015;4(3):e83) doi:10.2196/resprot.4085

#### **KEYWORDS**

RenderX

disorder; interview; life events; online systems; stress

# Introduction

#### Overview

Links between life events and clinical disorders have a long history, given the fact that stressful life events are an important predictor of the onset and course of various disorders across the life span, including depression, eating disorders, and psychosis [1-5]. In addition, long-term stressors (difficulties) play an important role in the onset and maintenance of disorder, notably depression, but these are often overlooked [6,7].

Empirical investigation of life events and disorder started with checklist self-report approaches in the 1960s [8], but the field was invigorated by the introduction of investigator-based interviews from the 1970s onward by Brown and Harris [9], with the Life Events and Difficulties Schedule (LEDS) Interview [9], and by Paykel [10] and Dohrenwend et al [11]. This paper is mainly concerned with the LEDS approach, although some points will equally apply to other interview measures as well. The LEDS focused on contextually assessed life events: first to incorporate the likely meaning of the event for an individual rather than using a generic scoring system, and second to avoid bias in reporting due to depressed mood and making sense of an illness episode retrospectively [12]. Although such approaches added to the complexity while improving the validity of life-event measurement, they invoked high costs in researcher and participant time as well as in researcher training. This has led to the use of checklist approaches in recent years [13], especially in the search for gene-environment interactions (GxE) in depression, because these studies require large sample sizes.

This paper outlines the ineffective measurement of life events in many contemporary research studies. It also presents a new online computerized approach—Computerised Life Events Assessment Record (CLEAR)—designed to optimize interview advantages while incurring low cost and being time effective. The ongoing development and future testing of CLEAR will be outlined with a focus on clinical health. It is expected that this new online method will offer an enhanced but readily available life-event measure with important implications for studying disorders.

The development of CLEAR has implications for genetic studies of depression as well as for more effective clinical application. For instance, some individuals are more likely to experience severe life events, because of psychosocial vulnerability (eg, difficulty in relationships resulting in more relationship events) [14]; likewise, based on similarities observed in twins [15,16], it appears that some individuals select themselves into high-risk situations due to genetic or familial factors [17,18]. Here the measurement of life events has proved critical, with genetic studies producing inconsistent findings for GxE in depression [19]. Thus, while several large studies have found a significant relationship between GxE for the serotonin transporter polymorphism (5-HTTLPR genotype) and life events in depression [20,21], others have failed to do so [22,23]. Uher and McGuffin [24] pointed out that the failures to replicate GxE results are more common in studies using checklist life-event questionnaires rather than interviews. Certainly, studies that have elicited stressful life events using more involved

methodologies (eg, life-history calendar or interview) have tended to find significant interactions between life events and the 5-*HTT* gene [25,26]. Thus, the current research demand for more sophisticated measures of life events lies in the genetic field, which would also aid any study requiring large sample sizes, clinical assessment, and treatment interventions.

#### **Life-Event Interviews and Questionnaires**

Among the different in-person semistructured interviews, probably the most widely used is the LEDS [9,27]. This approach encourages narrative accounts of events that can elicit the full social context, their timing, and sequence in relation to disorder onset. LEDS encapsulates a large range of events to the self and close others. It deals with the likely meaning of events by collecting contextually relevant information (both biographical and current circumstances) and rates according to precedent examples, stripped of subjective response.

This interview is considered the "gold standard" for measuring life stress and is superior to checklist approaches. The disadvantage comes from the time and labor involved [28] in analyzing the numerous constructs rated and the algorithms required (eg, for "severe event" definitions). For example, the LEDS interview takes 1-2 hours, but up to 16 hours to complete with full ratings and checking [29]. This incurs high costs and places a burden on the interviewer, making it an unattractive alternative to checklists for most studies [30]. Thus, there is a need for an approach that has the reliability and validity benefits of such comprehensive face-to-face interviews while being more economical.

#### **Key Features of Life Events and Measurement Issues**

#### **Events and Change**

The early investigation of life events by checklist (eg, Holmes and Rahe questionnaire [8]) characterized "a life change unit" as the main element with generic scoring of stressfulness routinely applied to events. Thus, "death of a spouse" was given the highest stress weighting (100), and minor violations of the law given the lowest (11). This approach makes 2 assumptions, which we challenge: first that life events require routine practical change and second that the stressfulness valence can be decided generically. In terms of change, we agree that the more extensive and permanent the negative life change, the more likely it is to invoke a stress response. Thus, permanent negative changes (eg, death of a spouse) get the highest ratings in this self-report, with routine and conditional change being rated the lowest (eg, begin or end school or college). However, this scheme has a pedestrian view of change as an observable shift in routine. In real life, however, degree of change is often not known at the commencement of an event (eg, partner leaves home after a row), or the change is definite but has not yet occurred (eg, forecast of redundancy), or news of the event occurs after the change has happened (eg, death of a relative abroad). Some of the most damaging events present no immediate practical change (eg, betrayal in a close relationship) but require substantial cognitive reappraisal. It is also important to ask, "Change to whom?." Events to close others, particularly those experienced jointly with the self can also have highly stressful impacts (eg, partner's severe illness requiring the respondent's caring

XSL•FO RenderX

responsibility). These are not usually included in self-report approaches. The LEDS covers events in 12 different domains, with up to 10 subdivisions in each, as well as routinely covering events to self and to a range of predetermined close others [27]. Thus, the array of events included is vastly higher and arguably captures a more realistic range of stressful experiences.

## Context and Severity

The other aspect involves the estimated severity of the event in terms of a likely stressful and negative emotional response in most people. In checklist approaches, this is generically ascribed. Yet, apart from the worst ones (eg, death of a spouse), almost all are dependent on context for their likely severity. For example, marriage and pregnancy are not inherently stressful unless the context is negative (eg, unplanned pregnancy, unstable partnership, health risks, or financial and housing difficulties), where a much higher stress score is allocated. A more recent checklist identified those events most often scored as severe life events in interview measures [13] and included events to close others, but the scoring of events is still generic rather than context dependent. Yet a study by Dohrenwend and colleagues [31] found that the lack of context contained within questionnaire measurement hid response heterogeneity. There was high variation in what respondents classified under each event and they often elicited trivial events [29]. Therefore, questionnaire categories can mask important differences in responding. Life-events checklists ultimately provide a total score based on the number of items endorsed, sometimes with a weighting applied. They do not assess the severity of each event experienced, with a view to one event being able to predict disorder. In interview measures such as the LEDS, context is determined by careful questioning about circumstances leading to and surrounding the event, with salient aspects included into the event context for judging severity. All of these contextual factors are objectively classified, not dependent on the emotional response of the individual.

#### Meaning of Events: Loss, Danger, and Humiliation

Interview measures have found that the likely meaning of an experience plays a central etiological role in the development of depression, with life events tied to changes involving loss (of relationship, role, cherished idea, or sense of self), danger (threat of a future loss, conflicts in core social roles, threats to plans you have made), or punishing environments (entrapment, humiliation) being the most predictive of disorder [1,7]. Equally, an individual's plans and concerns need to be considered; an event may derail long-term plans or undermine a role involving behavioral commitment (eg, caring mother, diligent student, dedicated worker). One prospective LEDS study found that a "severe event" in a life domain of previously determined high commitment more than doubled the risk of a depressive episode when compared with others in areas of lower commitment [6]. A further study showed that specific attributes make events more predictive of disorder: humiliation and entrapment [7]. "Humiliation" is an event involving a put down, devaluation, or rejection, and "entrapment" confirms imprisonment in an ongoing, highly punishing situation involving a chronic stressor or difficulty [7]. Entrapment events additionally predict comorbid depression and anxiety [7,32], as well as relapse of

depression [33] and operate cross-culturally [34]. Therefore, a full determination of an event's capacity to provoke a depression requires careful exploration and scoring of the salient experience including recent plans and behavioral commitment [7,35]. Questionnaire approaches tend to lack this depth and clarity, and therefore, underestimate the presence of stressors by overly summarizing the range of events possible without attention to such attributes.

#### **Timing and Chronicity of Stressors**

The timing of events is critical to determining their etiological role in depression onset. Events that occur after onset can only have a maintenance role at best. Therefore, precise timing of events is required. In addition, other important stressors are chronic, with severity levels that can vary over time. These are termed "difficulties" and comprise problematic situations, which last 4 weeks or more, and can go on for years. These can occur in as many domains as events, and can be antecedent or consequent to the event. An important analysis of such linkages showed that an event preceded by a severe difficulty (hence "matched") for at least 6 months and in the same domain greatly increased the risk of depression onset [6]. In this case, the potential for entrapment or an erosion of hope can add to the burden of the ongoing problem (eg, a partner's demand for a divorce in the context of a conflictual marriage; or a failed attempt at rehousing in the ongoing problem of serious overcrowding). Using these criteria, women with a severe event "matching" a difficulty had a threefold greater chance of developing depression [6]. Questionnaire measurement cannot reflect such links and is imprecise regarding the timing of the event in relation to onset of disorder. Severe events of etiological importance occur within 6 months of onset and often within half of that time [35]. In addition, the effects of life events gradually decay over time, with the strongest effect in the month immediately following the life event with some variation by event type [2,36]. Without knowing the timing of events, any precision is lost, which restricts the causal attribution of life events to disorder [37] and the investigation of specific stressors for different disorder outcomes [38].

#### **Independence From Individual's Own Actions**

Life-event interviews also categorize "independence" of the event. This is the extent to which the event is likely to be separate from the actions, planning, or control of the individual, that is, it occurs externally to the individual. Independence allows researchers and clinicians to estimate whether the event is a cause or consequence of disorder. For example, losing a job because the employer has gone bankrupt would be judged totally independent outside of personal control; personal health events are "nearly totally" independent, interactions with close others only "possibly independent," and intentional acts as "nonindependent" [9]. Events that are a part of the depression itself or its treatment (suicide attempt or psychiatric hospital admission) are rated as "least independent" and termed "illness related." Genetically sensitive twin studies of depression and life events have described genetic influences for nonindependent events, but not for independent events [39]. Both relate to depression.

XSL•FO

Given this context, the inadequacy of checklist life-event questionnaires for etiological study of depression is apparent. Although quick and easy to administer, requiring few resources, they are subject to serious methodological limitations compromising the quality of the data gathered.

#### Need for a New Approach

Digital health interventions are increasingly seen as a way to assess, treat, and prevent psychological disorder and deliver mental health provision. Such Web-based assessments and services have the ability to overcome geographical barriers, lower delivery costs, and reduce workforce demands [40]; in addition, the systems are convenient, assessments can be answered anonymously, and personalized feedback can be provided [41]. They can also provide avenues of research into processes related to mental health and well-being [42]. While digital health is a rapidly expanding area of research and practice, there is no sophisticated technological application of social risk factor assessments (such as life events and difficulties) that can benefit from many of the same advantages. There are, however, online measures with precoded algorithmic scoring used successfully within research for psychiatric diagnoses in children and adolescents (eg, Development and Well-Being Assessment, [43]) and adults (eg, OPCRIT, [44]) and for highlighting individuals at risk of physical illness such as Parkinson's disease (eg, PREDICT-PD, [45]). Such tools have also aided assessment with vulnerable children [46]. Thus, it seems likely that complex social risk factors could be measured in the same way.

The current project in progress aims to address the need for improved and accessible life stress measurement by developing an online data capture tool (CLEAR) and testing its psychometric properties and its association with disorder and educational outcomes. Currently, the project is in its early stages and CLEAR is still under development. In the following sections, we outline the basic architecture of the CLEAR system and the study to test it once complete.

# Methods

#### Participants

CLEAR is a new complex measurement tool, and therefore, its feasibility and usability will be assessed by life-event expert and nonexpert volunteers (n=20) across a range of ages. These groups will act as a panel to test out CLEAR before it is finalized. Panelists will rate either their own experience, or case study examples from archived interview data, to determine both user friendliness and whether the full context of the event can be adequately captured. Their feedback will inform improvements to the system.

The project will utilize 3 different samples to develop and test CLEAR. A midlife sample (average age 52) will be recruited from the Depression Case Control (DeCC) study, involving a pool of 2299 respondents from London, Cardiff, and Birmingham, originally studied for gene-stress interaction and depression [47]. Those with prior recurrent depression will be assessed by clinical interviews (n=125) and unaffected controls (n=125) will be reapproached for the study. Half of the

depressed cases will be selected based on having previously reported a lifetime common illness (asthma, hypertension, osteoarthritis, and thyroid problems), as will 25% of the controls (31/125) consistent with original prevalence rates. Furthermore, 125 1st-year undergraduates (average age 19) will be included for studying educational outcomes. This will test whether CLEAR can capture life events during different life stages. In addition, it will add to the limited evidence base regarding whether life events are related to student performance [48,49]. This is an important area to understand as students show higher rates of depression [50], and younger adults, in general, experience a higher rate of life events [51]. Developing a greater understanding of their experience can help with providing improved support at this critical life stage, which may have a lasting impact on future opportunities.

#### **Procedure and Analysis**

Participants will be approached by letter or email, which will explain the study and enclose an information sheet and consent form. Those who are interested in the study will be sent the CLEAR URL and log-on details, which will allow them to access and complete CLEAR from any Internet-enabled computer or tablet. The validity of CLEAR will be assessed by interviewing 30 participants from each of the samples (10 undergraduates, 10 recurrent depression cases, and 10 unaffected controls) using the in-person LEDS interview and CLEAR in counterbalanced order. The time taken for each participant to complete CLEAR will depend to some extent on how many life events have occurred over the 12-month period. However, the average in-person LEDS takes approximately an hour to complete, and therefore, it is assumed this will be the average time taken to finish CLEAR.

The data generated will be rated blind/reviewed by separate researchers and compared using Cohen kappa and intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) for level of agreement between the 2 methods. The total number of life events captured, the domain category, severity rating, and the timing of events will be compared to give an indication of how well CLEAR mimics the in-person method for full reporting and recall. Any further modifications will be made to CLEAR if required.

Test-retest reliability of CLEAR will be undertaken using an additional 20 undergraduates, 20 depression cases, and 20 controls from each of the samples, measured an optimal 3-4 weeks apart for stability in reporting (using Cohen kappa and ICC). CLEAR will be tested on the remaining participants (n=285) and the rates and types of life events and difficulties reported in the 3 samples will be compared and analyzed in relation to sex, social class, and age using chi-square statistics. The association between life events and past/recent depressive disorder and physical illness (DeCC sample), and academic performance (undergraduate sample) will be tested using logistic regression to look at the contribution of life events and indicators of social disadvantage to health and educational outcomes. Once both reliability and validity of CLEAR have been determined, the program will be available for more general use.

Security is a key concern of CLEAR; the CLEAR servers are built from CentOS Linux 5.4, which is a secure variant of Linux, has no services or ports installed, and includes only what is

XSL•FO RenderX

strictly necessary for CLEAR. In addition, a firewall is installed to further restrict access to the server. All data are entered into CLEAR under a unique log-on, and therefore, no names or contact details are entered on to the CLEAR system. The data are stored on a secure MySQL database that is updated whenever a participant enters information through the CLEAR interface. The log-ons will be stored in a password-protected file with the participant's study ID numbers. A separate password-protected file will contain the ID numbers and any identifying respondent information (eg, contact details). Therefore, for this study there is the ability to recontact participants if needed.

# Results

The CLEAR study is in the preliminary stages and its results are expected to be made available by the end of 2015.

# Discussion

# **CLEAR Instrument**

Respondents complete CLEAR by providing demographic information; information about close others; and life events and difficulties over the past year in 12 domains (education, work, reproduction, housing, money, crime, health, romantic, other relationships, children, death, and miscellaneous). The assessment also includes a fixed battery of measures, a depression questionnaire (General Health Questionnaire, GHQ, [52]), and an interpersonal vulnerability questionnaire (Vulnerable Attachment Style Questionnaire, VASQ [53]). However, for projects tailored to other research questions, the integrated calendar system can be used to record events over a greater observation period, and paper or electronic questionnaires can be used in addition to CLEAR. The information is provided through a mixture of checklists for closed answers, text boxes for open-ended answers, and logic-driven checklist menus. CLEAR also contains a feedback system that allows for a personalized calendar, menus, and references to specified close others.

The logic-driven menus guide the respondent based on their prior answers. For example, if a respondent chooses the "education" category, this presents them with a menu of options (eg, selection interview, examination results) and depending on response, a following different set of options is provided (eg, application rejected/accepted versus passed/failed exam). Once respondents have completed these, they describe and score various aspects of the event including the event context, independence, and their feelings about the event. For each event, respondents are asked if this relates to any other event or difficulty and a menu (which continually updates) is presented with all previously entered events and difficulties. Thus, they are also able to link events and difficulties. This creates a dynamic feedback system in which more links between events and difficulties can be added as CLEAR is completed. Throughout this process, there are detailed instructions (including video) and domain-specific examples to inform the respondent. Important aspects, such as level of threat/unpleasantness, are given labels benchmarking the target level to encourage appropriate ratings.

CLEAR is scored using a precoded algorithm to produce a rating of "severe" life event as well as "D-matching" events and other indices. For analysis of the in-person LEDS interview, a derived variable of "severe life event" is one, which is rated (1) "marked" or "moderate" on long-term contextual threat/unpleasantness (ie, objective assessment, present at 10 days after the start); (2) "self" or "joint" focused; and (3) is not "illness related" (ie, part of the disorder investigated such as treatment/hospitalization or symptom related such as suicide attempt). The same algorithm for combining these 3 scales in producing a binary severe life-event variable will be precoded in the CLEAR online version from the data entered and made available for the report produced, or downloading to SPSS (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) for further analysis. For "matching difficulty events," a stated link to rated difficulties of "very marked," "marked," or "high moderate" severity is required, in the same domain (eg, work or marital), and of 6 months duration prior to the event. This will similarly be precoded consistent with the regular interview analysis of data.

Information can be pooled from various sources to assign the likely negative meaning of the event for the respondent based on demographics in combination with objective ratings of the event circumstances. The logic-driven menus provide detail about the basic event type and circumstances that may apply (eg, for moving house a submenu is provided where an individual can choose an option "forced to move" and from the following menu tick options that may apply such as "large cost of moving" and "neighborhood less desirable"), and the self-report data provide demographic information including current circumstances (eg, employment status, number of dependents) and historical data (eg, education and employment history). The system also requires self-assessed threat/unpleasantness ratings of events and difficulties. Together, these will be used to produce an overall objective severity rating. In addition, the written descriptions provide further surrounding detail that can be reviewed by researchers to check for reliability. Furthermore, using both the open-ended text-box answers and scores can help researcher review each case in depth, which also allows for quality control checking and enabling extended qualitative analysis if needed, or in a minority of cases recontacting respondents.

The logic-driven menus guide individuals toward the type of events likely to be stressful, from more general to more specific event types. There is evidence to suggest that inclusion of detailed instructions of different event types in each category gives better test-retest reliability with less "fall off" of event reporting over time, and greater agreement between respondent and co-informant [54,55]. Therefore, adopting this approach may help maximize reliability and prevent recall fall off, which will be assessed through a comparison of CLEAR and the in-person LEDS interviews.

Each stem menu of events leads down a path until the options are no longer relevant. However, at each stage, the respondent is given the option of rating "something else/other." In this way, stressful events that do not fit into proscribed categories or criteria can also be included. This ensures that the specification of events does not make the definition of events too narrow [56].

XSL•FO RenderX

Difficulties with recall can be a problem for both interview and checklist methodology, even over a 12-month period [24]. Comparisons of longitudinal and cross-sectional studies demonstrate that more events are reported longitudinally than retrospectively [57]. However, using Web-based systems to conduct prospective longitudinal assessments may be a lower resource-intensive method of obtaining detailed descriptions of psychopathology processes over time [58]. In addition, when CLEAR is used retrospectively, recall may be aided as respondents can edit their responses and can complete it over a few sittings and see their own calendar of events before finalizing sequences. Studies have found that respondents who initially fail to report serious events, when given more time to think after initial prompting trigger greater recall or appraisal of the event [59].

Recall is also helped through a personalized calendar that is updated as life events and anchoring anniversaries or social occasions (eg, holidays, birthdays) are added to the system. The timing of important psychopathology-related timings (eg, peak depression) can be added to the calendar. Events are often linked to other events in autobiographical memory; therefore, the use of calendars can lead to better quality (ie, more complete and accurate) retrospective reports of events, even after several years [58,59]. When used in conjunction with self-report methods it improves completeness of the data and dating accuracy [60]. In addition, the use of multiple, self-generated, and personal landmarks further enhances memory [61].

The CLEAR system aims to be as personalized as possible. In general, simple approaches such as addressing individuals by their name can sufficiently personalize a message to heighten attention to the information provided [62]. CLEAR will use the data input, to reflect information back to respondents in a meaningful way. This will include personalization of menu options, such as forenames of close others used to populate the answer options to particular questions (eg, who was involved in the event?) or only being presented with certain questions (eg, what is your partner's job?) if they have answered yes to a previous question (eg, do you have a partner?). In addition, normative feedback will be presented to summarize and personalize risk and resilience factors based on the information collected. For example, respondents will be given a pre-prepared brief report, which is tailored to their scores on the GHQ and VASQ, as well as a simple calendar of their events when completed. It is hoped that this will increase motivation and enhance the effectiveness of the system at conveying information and improving respondent's appropriate response.

Lastly, the online system can be completed in private. Compared with interviews, self-administered measures can elicit more events that may be sensitive, embarrassing, or have the potential to bring about negative consequences [30,63]. One study investigating the impact of social anxiety on well-being found that an online survey was able to obtain in-depth qualitative information about delicate or stigmatizing difficulties [64], and adds to a growing literature suggesting that anonymity of the Internet facilitates open discussion of problems, which may be hard to talk about face-to-face [41].

The CLEAR system will also be programmed to provide basic reports for clinicians/health professionals on individuals in health settings with appropriate permissions. Health professionals can be provided with unique log-ons to CLEAR to access the data-generated reports from the database. The reports will provide a summary of each life event (severity score, date, classification from the menus, and written context from the respondent), a calendar denoting sequence and timing, and the scores from the GHQ and VASQ with appropriate description of resulting classification. The data from CLEAR can also be downloaded into SPSS files or specific data can be downloaded based on applied filters (eg, all events in the housing category). The data are a mixture of quantitative variables (eg, event category, threat, age, relationship to person close to them) and qualitative variables (eg, event written description and emotional reaction). The provision of such automated reports, once tested for their informative and useable characteristics, will be a major benefit of the measure to ongoing practice.

#### **Advantages for Clinicians and Researchers**

The first observations concerning life events occurred in the early 20th century in the clinical field when understanding the experience of depressed patients [65], with Meyer the first to create life charts to document events linked to disorder [66]. Thus, clinical approaches as a basis for treatment were an original driver for investigating life events and depression, and a need still exists in modern approaches such as cognitive behavioral therapy [67] where understanding individual appraisal and response to events is critical to effect cognitive and behavioral change. Having easy access to sophisticated measures of life events are therefore of potential help to clinicians and could be used in combination with tailored digital health interventions; for example, cognitive behavioral therapy packages formulated to be used in response to severe life events occurring within an individual's life.

Severe life events are relatively common, but only a minority of individuals exposed develop depression. Therefore, the role of personal vulnerability is important. Studies including both low self-esteem and negative interpersonal relationships (ie, conflict with partner or child or lack of close support) as vulnerability indices showed interaction effects with stressful life events in the development of depression [68,69]. Certainly, women selected for these vulnerabilities in a prospective study showed 50% risk of new clinical depression onset [68,69]. While the focus of CLEAR is on the provoking agents for depression, additional questionnaires of vulnerability can be included to generate a fuller picture of the individual, with a future prospect of further developing these online.

#### Conclusions

This paper argues that life events are complex phenomena not currently served by the most commonly used measurement approach, that is, checklists. This is potentially damaging research investigating the etiology of depression: problematic measurement must surely lead to problematic results. It is hoped that CLEAR's technological advances will produce a useful compromise between life-event checklists and interview approaches, overcoming some of the limitations of

```
http://www.researchprotocols.org/2015/3/e83/
```

questionnaires while reducing the burden inherent in face-to-face interviews. CLEAR should have the capacity to capture life-event details and context, different attributes of the event, timing of the event, and linkages between events and difficulties. Although it will not provide exhaustive coverage of all possible events, it is presumed that the majority of events will be captured and that most respondents will be able to rate the bulk of their events accurately given the guidance provided through the menus, examples, and appropriate benchmarking. Thus, this new method of measuring life events may be able to gather high-quality data, hopefully with reliability and validity comparable to the gold-standard interview approach, overcoming the problems inherent in relying on checklist approaches in etiological research.

It is also hoped that the CLEAR approach to assessing life events and difficulties will aid those in clinical practice. The provision of recent life charts of events labeled in terms of their likely stressful nature and with attributes relating to loss, danger, humiliation, and entrapment will allow clinicians to consider the level of stressor experienced in seeking to estimate patient appraisal and coping capacity.

# Acknowledgments

The CLEAR "Stress online: Developing a reliable and valid interactive online method for measuring stressful life events and difficulties" project is funded by the Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC ES/K00638X/1).

# **Conflicts of Interest**

Dr Taylor is funded in part by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London, which played no part in the preparation of this manuscript. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR, or the Department of Health. Dr Taylor has received personal fees from Bristol-Myers Squibb and Otsuka and has a family member who is an employee of GlaxoSmithKline.

## References

- Brown GW. Social roles, context and evolution in the origins of depression. J Health Soc Behav 2002 Sep;43(3):255-276. [Medline: <u>12467252</u>]
- Hillegers MH, Burger H, Wals M, Reichart CG, Verhulst FC, Nolen WA, et al. Impact of stressful life events, familial loading and their interaction on the onset of mood disorders: study in a high-risk cohort of adolescent offspring of parents with bipolar disorder. Br J Psychiatry 2004 Aug;185:97-101 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1192/bjp.185.2.97] [Medline: 15286059]
- 3. Pine DS, Cohen P, Johnson JG, Brook JS. Adolescent life events as predictors of adult depression. J Affect Disord 2002 Feb;68(1):49-57. [Medline: <u>11869782</u>]
- 4. Schmidt U, Tiller J, Blanchard M, Andrews B, Treasure J. Is there a specific trauma precipitating anorexia nervosa? Psychol Med 1997 May;27(3):523-530. [Medline: 9153673]
- 5. Beards S, Gayer-Anderson C, Borges S, Dewey ME, Fisher HL, Morgan C. Life events and psychosis: a review and meta-analysis. Schizophr Bull 2013 Jul;39(4):740-747 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1093/schbul/sbt065] [Medline: 23671196]
- 6. Brown GW, Bifulco A, Harris TO. Life events, vulnerability and onset of depression: some refinements. Br J Psychiatry 1987 Jan;150:30-42. [Medline: <u>3651696</u>]
- 7. Brown GW, Harris TO, Hepworth C. Loss, humiliation and entrapment among women developing depression: a patient and non-patient comparison. Psychol Med 1995 Jan;25(1):7-21. [Medline: 7792364]
- Holmes TH, Rahe RH. The Social Readjustment Rating Scale. J Psychosom Res 1967 Aug;11(2):213-218. [Medline: 6059863]
- 9. Brown GW, Harris T. Social origins of depression: A study of psychiatric disorder in women. London: Tavistock Publications; 1978.
- 10. Paykel ES. The Interview for Recent Life Events. Psychol Med 1997 Mar;27(2):301-310. [Medline: 9089823]
- Dohrenwend BS, Krasnoff L, Askenasy AR, Dohrenwend BP. Exemplification of a method for scaling life events: the Peri Life Events Scale. J Health Soc Behav 1978 Jun;19(2):205-229. [Medline: <u>681735</u>]
- 12. Brown GW. Meaning, measurement, and stress of life events. In Dohrenwend BS, Dohrenwend BP. editors. Stressful life events, their nature and effects. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 1974:217-243.
- 13. Brugha TS, Cragg D. The List of Threatening Experiences: the reliability and validity of a brief life events questionnaire. Acta Psychiatr Scand 1990 Jul;82(1):77-81. [Medline: 2399824]
- 14. Bifulco A, Brown GW, Moran P, Ball C, Campbell C. Predicting depression in women: the role of past and present vulnerability. Psychol Med 1998 Jan;28(1):39-50. [Medline: <u>9483682</u>]
- 15. Kendler KS, Gatz M, Gardner CO, Pedersen NL. A Swedish national twin study of lifetime major depression. Am J Psychiatry 2006 Jan;163(1):109-114. [doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.163.1.109] [Medline: 16390897]
- 16. Sullivan PF, Neale MC, Kendler KS. Genetic epidemiology of major depression: review and meta-analysis. Am J Psychiatry 2000 Oct;157(10):1552-1562. [Medline: <u>11007705</u>]

- 17. Kendler KS, Neale M, Kessler R, Heath A, Eaves L. A twin study of recent life events and difficulties. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1993 Oct;50(10):789-796. [Medline: <u>8215803</u>]
- Foley DL, Neale MC, Kendler KS. A longitudinal study of stressful life events assessed at interview with an epidemiological sample of adult twins: the basis of individual variation in event exposure. Psychol Med 1996 Nov;26(6):1239-1252. [Medline: 8931170]
- Risch N, Herrell R, Lehner T, Liang KY, Eaves L, Hoh J, et al. Interaction between the serotonin transporter gene (5-HTTLPR), stressful life events, and risk of depression: a meta-analysis. JAMA 2009 Jun 17;301(23):2462-2471 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1001/jama.2009.878] [Medline: 19531786]
- 20. Jacobs N, Kenis G, Peeters F, Derom C, Vlietinck R, van Os J. Stress-related negative affectivity and genetically altered serotonin transporter function: evidence of synergism in shaping risk of depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2006 Sep;63(9):989-996. [doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.63.9.989] [Medline: 16953001]
- Kim JM, Stewart R, Kim SW, Yang SJ, Shin IS, Kim YH, et al. Interactions between life stressors and susceptibility genes (5-HTTLPR and BDNF) on depression in Korean elders. Biol Psychiatry 2007 Sep 1;62(5):423-428. [doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.11.020] [Medline: 17482146]
- Fisher HL, Cohen-Woods S, Hosang GM, Uher R, Powell-Smith G, Keers R, et al. Stressful life events and the serotonin transporter gene (5-HTT) in recurrent clinical depression. J Affect Disord 2012 Jan;136(1-2):189-193 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2011.09.016] [Medline: 21982504]
- Surtees PG, Wainwright NW, Willis-Owen SA, Luben R, Day NE, Flint J. Social adversity, the serotonin transporter (5-HTTLPR) polymorphism and major depressive disorder. Biol Psychiatry 2006 Feb 1;59(3):224-229. [doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.07.014] [Medline: 16154545]
- 24. Uher R, McGuffin P. The moderation by the serotonin transporter gene of environmental adversity in the etiology of depression: 2009 update. Mol Psychiatry 2010 Jan;15(1):18-22. [doi: <u>10.1038/mp.2009.123</u>] [Medline: <u>20029411</u>]
- Brown GW, Craig TK, Harris TO, Herbert J, Hodgson K, Tansey KE, et al. Functional polymorphism in the brain-derived neurotrophic factor gene interacts with stressful life events but not childhood maltreatment in the etiology of depression. Depress Anxiety 2014 Apr;31(4):326-334. [doi: 10.1002/da.22221] [Medline: 24338983]
- Caspi A, Sugden K, Moffitt TE, Taylor A, Craig IW, Harrington H, et al. Influence of life stress on depression: moderation by a polymorphism in the 5-HTT gene. Science 2003 Jul 18;301(5631):386-389 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1126/science.1083968] [Medline: 12869766]
- 27. Bifulco A, Brown G, Edwards A, Harris T, Neilson E, Richards C, et al. Life Events and Difficulties Schedule: Vol. 1. Life events manual. London: Royal Holloway and Bedford New College, University of London; 1989.
- Dohrenwend BP. Inventorying stressful life events as risk factors for psychopathology: Toward resolution of the problem of intracategory variability. Psychol Bull 2006 May;132(3):477-495 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.132.3.477] [Medline: 16719570]
- 29. Wethington E, Brown G, Kessler R. Interview measurement of stressful events in measuring stress: a guide for health and social scientists. In: Cohen S, Kessler R, Underwood GL. editors. New York: Oxford University Press; 1997:59-79.
- Grant KE, Compas BE, Thurm AE, McMahon SD, Gipson PY. Stressors and child and adolescent psychopathology: measurement issues and prospective effects. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol 2004 Jun;33(2):412-425. [doi: 10.1207/s15374424jccp3302\_23] [Medline: 15136206]
- 31. Dohrenwend BP, Link BG, Kern BG, Shrout R, Markowitz J. Measuring life events: The problem of variability within event categories. Stress Medicine 1990;6:179-187. [doi: 10.1002/smi.2460060303]
- Kendler KS, Hettema JM, Butera F, Gardner CO, Prescott CA. Life event dimensions of loss, humiliation, entrapment, and danger in the prediction of onsets of major depression and generalized anxiety. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2003 Aug;60(8):789-796. [doi: 10.1001/archpsyc.60.8.789] [Medline: 12912762]
- 33. Farmer AE, McGuffin P. Humiliation, loss and other types of life events and difficulties: a comparison of depressed subjects, healthy controls and their siblings. Psychol Med 2003 Oct;33(7):1169-1175. [Medline: <u>14580071</u>]
- Broadhead JC, Abas MA. Life events, difficulties and depression among women in an urban setting in Zimbabwe. Psychol Med 1998 Jan;28(1):29-38. [Medline: <u>9483681</u>]
- 35. Ormel J, Oldehinkel AJ, Brilman EI. The interplay and etiological continuity of neuroticism, difficulties, and life events in the etiology of major and subsyndromal, first and recurrent depressive episodes in later life. Am J Psychiatry 2001 Jun;158(6):885-891. [Medline: <u>11384895</u>]
- 36. Surtees PG, Wainwright NW. The shackles of misfortune: social adversity assessment and representation in a chronic-disease epidemiological setting. Soc Sci Med 2007 Jan;64(1):95-111. [doi: <u>10.1016/j.socscimed.2006.08.013</u>] [Medline: <u>16997441</u>]
- Hunt N, Bruce-Jones W, Silverstone T. Life events and relapse in bipolar affective disorder. J Affect Disord 1992 May;25(1):13-20. [Medline: <u>1624643</u>]
- Johnson SL, Cueller AK, Ruggero C, Winett-Perlman C, Goodnick P, White R, et al. Life events as predictors of mania and depression in bipolar I disorder. J Abnorm Psychol 2008 May;117(2):268-277 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1037/0021-843X.117.2.268] [Medline: 18489203]
- 39. Kendler KS, Karkowski LM, Prescott CA. The assessment of dependence in the study of stressful life events: validation using a twin design. Psychol Med 1999 Nov;29(6):1455-1460. [Medline: <u>10616952</u>]

RenderX

- 40. Schmidt U, Wykes T. E-mental health a land of unlimited possibilities. J Ment Health 2012 Aug;21(4):327-331. [doi: 10.3109/09638237.2012.705930] [Medline: 22823092]
- 41. Musiat P, Hoffmann L, Schmidt U. Personalised computerised feedback in E-mental health. J Ment Health 2012 Aug;21(4):346-354. [doi: 10.3109/09638237.2011.648347] [Medline: 22315961]
- 42. Bauer S, Moessner M. Technology-enhanced monitoring in psychotherapy and e-mental health. J Ment Health 2012 Aug;21(4):355-363. [doi: <u>10.3109/09638237.2012.667886</u>] [Medline: <u>22548363</u>]
- 43. Goodman R, Ford T, Richards H, Gatward R, Meltzer H. The Development and Well-Being Assessment: description and initial validation of an integrated assessment of child and adolescent psychopathology. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 2000 Jul;41(5):645-655. [Medline: <u>10946756</u>]
- 44. Craddock M, Asherson P, Owen MJ, Williams J, McGuffin P, Farmer AE. Concurrent validity of the OPCRIT diagnostic system. Comparison of OPCRIT diagnoses with consensus best-estimate lifetime diagnoses. Br J Psychiatry 1996 Jul;169(1):58-63. [Medline: <u>8818369</u>]
- 45. Noyce AJ, Bestwick JP, Silveira-Moriyama L, Hawkes CH, Knowles CH, Hardy J, et al. PREDICT-PD: identifying risk of Parkinson's disease in the community: methods and baseline results. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2014 Jan;85(1):31-37 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2013-305420] [Medline: 23828833]
- 46. Calam R, Cox A, Glasgow D, Jimmieson P, Larsen SG. Assessment and therapy with Children: Can computers help? Clin Child Psychol Psychiatry 2000;5(3):329-343. [doi: 10.1177/1359104500005003004]
- 47. Cohen-Woods S, Gaysina D, Craddock N, Farmer A, Gray J, Gunasinghe C, et al. Depression Case Control (DeCC) Study fails to support involvement of the muscarinic acetylcholine receptor M2 (CHRM2) gene in recurrent major depressive disorder. Hum Mol Genet 2009 Apr 15;18(8):1504-1509 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddp051] [Medline: 19181679]
- 48. Lloyd C, Alexander AA, Rice DG, Greenfield NS. Life events as predictors of academic performance. J Human Stress 1980 Sep;6(3):15-25. [doi: 10.1080/0097840X.1980.9936102] [Medline: 7052820]
- 49. Dyrbye LN, Thomas MR, Huntington JL, Lawson KL, Novotny PJ, Sloan JA, et al. Personal life events and medical student burnout: a multicenter study. Acad Med 2006 Apr;81(4):374-384. [Medline: <u>16565189</u>]
- 50. Ibrahim AK, Kelly SJ, Adams CE, Glazebrook C. A systematic review of studies of depression prevalence in university students. J Psychiatr Res 2013 Mar;47(3):391-400. [doi: <u>10.1016/j.jpsychires.2012.11.015</u>] [Medline: <u>23260171</u>]
- Norris FH. Epidemiology of trauma: frequency and impact of different potentially traumatic events on different demographic groups. J Consult Clin Psychol 1992 Jun;60(3):409-418. [Medline: <u>1619095</u>]
- 52. Goldberg D, Williams P. A User's Guide to the General Health Questionnaire. Windsor: NFER-Nelson; 1988.
- Bifulco A, Mahon J, Kwon JH, Moran PM, Jacobs C. The Vulnerable Attachment Style Questionnaire (VASQ): an interview-based measure of attachment styles that predict depressive disorder. Psychol Med 2003 Aug;33(6):1099-1110. [Medline: <u>12946094</u>]
- 54. Paykel ES. Methodological aspects of life events research. J Psychosom Res 1983;27(5):341-352. [Medline: <u>6668560</u>]
- 55. Wittchen HU, Essau CA, Hecht H, Teder W, Pfister H. Reliability of life event assessments: test-retest reliability and fall-off effects of the Munich Interview for the Assessment of Life Events and Conditions. J Affect Disord 1989;16(1):77-91. [Medline: 2521655]
- Goodman LA, Corcoran C, Turner K, Yuan N, Green BL. Assessing traumatic event exposure: general issues and preliminary findings for the Stressful Life Events Screening Questionnaire. J Trauma Stress 1998 Jul;11(3):521-542. [doi: 10.1023/A:1024456713321] [Medline: 9690191]
- 57. Raphael KG, Cloitre M, Dohrenwend BP. Problems of recall and misclassification with checklist methods of measuring stressful life events. Health Psychol 1991;10(1):62-74. [Medline: 2026132]
- 58. Belli RF. The structure of autobiographical memory and the event history calendar: potential improvements in the quality of retrospective reports in surveys. Memory 1998 Jul;6(4):383-406. [doi: <u>10.1080/741942610</u>] [Medline: <u>9829098</u>]
- 59. Belli RF, Shay WL, Stafford FP. Event history calendars and question list surveys: a direct comparison of interviewing methods. Public Opin Q 2001;65(1):45-74. [Medline: <u>11264054</u>]
- 60. Drasch K, Matthes B. Improving retrospective life course data by combining modularized self-reports and event history calendars: experiences from a large scale survey. Qual Quant 2011 Sep 13;47(2):817-838. [doi: 10.1007/s11135-011-9568-0]
- 61. Lyketsos C, Nestadt G, Cwi J, Heithoff K, Eaton WW. The life chart interview: A standardized method to describe the course of psychopathology. Int J Meth Psychiatr Res 1994;4:143-155.
- 62. Dijkstra A. Working mechanisms of computer-tailored health education: evidence from smoking cessation. Health Educ Res 2005 Oct;20(5):527-539 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1093/her/cyh014] [Medline: 15701665]
- 63. Schaeffer NC, Presser S. The Science of Asking Questions. Annu. Rev. Sociol 2003 Aug;29(1):65-88. [doi: 10.1146/annurev.soc.29.110702.110112]
- 64. Russell G, Topham P. The impact of social anxiety on student learning and well-being in higher education. J Ment Health 2012 Aug;21(4):375-385. [doi: 10.3109/09638237.2012.694505] [Medline: 22823093]
- 65. Freud S. Mourning and melancholia. London: Hogarth Press; 1917.
- 66. Meyer A. Collected Papers (Four Volumes). Winters E. editor. Baltimore: John Hopkins Press; 1951.
- 67. Beck AT. The current state of cognitive therapy: A 40-year retrospective. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2005 Sep;62(9):953-959. [doi: <u>10.1001/archpsyc.62.9.953</u>] [Medline: <u>16143727</u>]

RenderX

- Brown GW, Bifulco A, Andrews B. Self-esteem and depression. III. Aetiological issues. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 1990 Sep;25(5):235-243. [Medline: <u>2237604</u>]
- 69. Bifulco A, Brown GW, Moran P, Ball C, Campbell C. Predicting depression in women: the role of past and present vulnerability. Psychol Med 1998 Jan;28(1):39-50. [Medline: <u>9483682</u>]

#### Abbreviations

CLEAR: Computerised Life Events Assessment Record DeCC: Depression Case Control GHQ: General Health Questionnaire GxE: gene-environment interactions ICC: intraclass correlation coefficients LEDS: Life Events and Difficulties Schedule VASQ: Vulnerable Attachment Style Questionnaire

Edited by G Eysenbach; submitted 28.11.14; peer-reviewed by S Bauer, M Moessner, N Troop; comments to author 22.04.15; accepted 07.05.15; published 14.07.15

<u>Please cite as:</u> Spence R, Bunn A, Nunn S, Hosang GM, Kagan L, Fisher HL, Taylor M, Bifulco A Measuring Life Events and Their Association With Clinical Disorder: A Protocol for Development of an Online Approach JMIR Res Protoc 2015;4(3):e83 URL: <u>http://www.researchprotocols.org/2015/3/e83/</u> doi:10.2196/resprot.4085 PMID:26175304

©Ruth Spence, Amanda Bunn, Stephen Nunn, Georgina M Hosang, Lisa Kagan, Helen L Fisher, Matthew Taylor, Antonia Bifulco. Originally published in JMIR Research Protocols (http://www.researchprotocols.org), 14.07.2015. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR Research Protocols, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on http://www.researchprotocols.org, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.

