

Title Page

British Nurseries, Head and Heart: McMillan, Owen and the genesis of the education/ care dichotomy

This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in [Women's History Review] on 2nd April 2015 available online:

<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/09612025.2015.1025662#.VZqNPFL4E3g>

Authors:

First Author:

Dr Pam Jarvis

Senior Lecturer

Institute of Childhood and Education

Room S9, Shrewsbury Building

Leeds Trinity University

Brownberrie Lane

Horsforth

Leeds LS18 5HD

Tel: 0113 283 7100 ext 567

Mobile: 07813 978747

Email: p.jarvis@leedstrinity.ac.uk

Second Author:

Dr Betty Liebovich

Educational Studies

Goldsmiths University of London

New Cross

SE14 6NW

office: 0207 919 7315

mobile: 0790 969 1788

fax: 0207 919 7313

Acknowledgements: With many thanks to Dr Rosemary Mitchell, Reader in Victorian Studies at Leeds Trinity University, for her comments on a draft of this article.

Abstract

This article explores the origins of the modern nursery school in England, focussing upon the early work of the Nursery School Association and its internal debates, with particular consideration of the contributions of the first president and first secretary of the association, respectively Margaret McMillan and Grace Owen. It reflects upon dichotomies within the constructions of the purposes of the nursery espoused by these women and their supporters, essentially rooted in a care versus education debate, and the consequent differences in their orientations to the maternalist milieu that formed a back-drop to their activities. While the dispute between McMillan and Owen has been all but forgotten, similar dichotomies continue within policy creation for modern nursery classes and daycare environments.

120 words

Key words: Nursery School Association, Pedagogy, Nurture, Nursery Teachers, Frobelians, Maternalism

Introduction: A Storm in a Milk Bottle?

In 1932, as the Great Depression began to take a firm grip on the United Kingdom, Bradford Education Authority told local nursery schools that children were to receive a maximum of one-third of a pint of milk a day. Miss Miriam Lord, Superintendent (head teacher) of Lilycroft Nursery in Bradford unleashed a spirited protest against this decision; in her nursery, located in an area of great socio-economic deprivation, one pint per day was allocated to each child. Lord's biographer, Ruth Murray, sought to understand the motives behind such an uncompromising stand on the provision of milk, pursuing a trail that led directly back to the trenchant, care-focused maternalism of Margaret McMillan, of whom Murray posits, Lord was a 'disciple,'¹ and the cooler, pedagogically focused maternalism of Grace Owen, which had, three years earlier, succeeded in silencing McMillan's strident voice within the Nursery School Association [NSA].²

Lord appealed to the first active female Member of Parliament, Conservative Nancy Astor, to put the 'Bradford milk' case directly to Parliament. As a staunch advocate of

¹ Ruth Murray, *The development of nursery schools and child welfare policies and practices in Bradford from the 1890s to the 1950s with particular reference to the work of Miriam Lord*, Unpublished PhD thesis; University of Leeds (1993), p.12.

² London School of Economics BAECE 13/9: Correspondence between Margaret McMillan, Grace Owen and the NSA relating to McMillan's resignation as the President of the NSA

the nursery schools movement, Lady Astor swiftly obliged; unfortunately for Lord, however, Astor had constantly boasted to the House of McMillan's frugality within her Deptford-based nursery,³ so when the Conservative chairman of the Bradford Elementary Schools sub-committee, incensed at his policy's examination on the national stage, was able to demonstrate that Lilycroft's bill for milk was more than double that of a similar nursery (£23 9s 5d as opposed to £9 13s 7d)⁴, Astor's ability to pursue the case any further became irretrievably compromised. Lord was thus isolated and left to deal with the opprobrium of the Bradford politicians, who chose to demote her from superintendent of a nursery school to assistant mistress of a nursery class located within an infant school, a post in which she remained until her retirement in 1944.⁵ A 1933 appeal to the Nursery School Association, of which Lord had been a founder-member, elicited a tactful, sympathetic reply, but no further action on her behalf. Grace Owen, the Hon. General Secretary of the NSA, wrote to Lord: 'Lilycroft would not be a good case to bring up in relation to the staffing of Nursery/ Infants Schools.'⁶

In the early twentieth century, mass nursery education was a relatively new concept within the UK. A network of nurseries had initially been set up to help married women to undertake paid labour during World War I, and over the immediate post-war period, the idea that a range of far-reaching benefits could be achieved through collective national public initiatives also began to permeate the British national consciousness. 'In the early 1920s.... discussions within the Labour Party focused on the public provision of health care, child care and other services by professionals'.⁷ The Education Act 1921 made provision for grants to organise nursery schools for children over 2 years old and under five years old to be disseminated and overseen by Local Education Authorities.⁸ The establishment of the Nursery School Association [NSA] soon followed in 1923, with Margaret McMillan as its first president.⁹

³ Ruth Murray commented on Astor: 'On reading through Hansard one can practically hear the groans of dismay ... whenever she raised the topic of nursery education because her speech would invariably bring mention of the McMillan sisters and their ability to provide nursery schooling on a shoestring... in her speech in July 1927 [she commented that]... whilst she recognised that there was too much waste in education, nursery schools such as the Rachel McMillan school at Deptford were run at a minimum cost'. In *The development of nursery schools and child welfare policies and practices in Bradford from the 1890s to the 1950s with particular reference to the work of Miriam Lord*, Unpublished PhD thesis; University of Leeds (1993).

⁴Ruth Murray, p.157.

⁵ Ruth Murray, p.206

⁶ Ruth Murray, p.194

⁷ Ellen Ross, 'Survival Networks: Women's Neighbourhood Sharing in London before World War I'. *History Workshop* 15 (1983) 4-27 (p.20)

⁸ Board of Education (England) Education Act 1921, 11 & 12 Geo. 5. c. 51. Available at: <http://www.educationengland.org.uk/documents/acts/1921-education-act.html>

⁹Viv Moriarty, *Margaret McMillan: 'I learn, to succor the helpless'* (Nottingham: Educational Heretics Press, 1998), p.60

One of the key debates triggered by the emergence of modern, state funded nursery education was that of the nursery school versus the nursery class within an infant school. The early records of the NSA indicate that Margaret McMillan advocated a head-on confrontation with the mainstream political milieu with regard to an insistence upon the establishment of dedicated nursery schools, while Grace Owen was inclined to engage with the less expensive nursery class option favoured by austerity-conscious local government officials, ministers and civil servants, reflecting 'it would be a pity, I think to slip into a pitched battle...'¹⁰ It is clear that Owen emerged as the victor from the ensuing policy struggle within the NSA, despite some evident pockets of sympathy with McMillan within the committee.¹¹ Seth Koven reflects that while women 'used maternalist imagery and arguments in advancing themselves and their visions of child welfare... maternalist discourses did not exist in an ideological or political vacuum' and that a generic description of 'maternalist' female reformers may mask a wide range of agendas.¹² The nursery schools versus nursery classes debate within the NSA, which was constructed within a care versus education agenda, is a clear example of such an ideological and political struggle within the ranks of early twentieth century maternalism, and it is this event that is explored within the text below. The account will begin by exploring the different backgrounds of the principal opponents, considering the varied influences that led to their eventual confrontation.

The Origins of the Modern British Nursery

Margaret McMillan's (1860-1931) approach to nursery education was deeply informed by her background in Christian Socialism, and subsequent political activism aimed at reducing inequalities within society in general. Elizabeth Bradburn suggested that McMillan's perceived connection with a mystic spirituality, in particular, a 'transforming friendship with God...is the key to an understanding of the rest of her life; the social work in which she later became involved was cradled in it'.¹³ There is evidence to support this assertion within the historical record. For example, McMillan refers to her sister Rachel's involvement in her induction to Christian Socialism via the assertion that socialists are 'the true disciples and followers of Christ'.¹⁴ In the final years of her life, McMillan was quoted as proposing that the work that she and others had been doing with slum children sprang from 'responsibilities as human beings to God'.¹⁵ Additionally, in her private correspondence, she wrote to her longstanding friend and mentor, Robert Blatchford:

¹⁰ London School of Economics BAECE 13/4, draft letter in Grace Owen's handwriting, n.d, no recipient indicated

¹¹ London School of Economics BAECE 13/4, minutes of a meeting on 3rd January 1925; Mrs Everleigh commented 'better to be mad than prudent'!

¹² Seth Koven and Sonya Michael, *Mothers of a New World*. (London: Routledge 1993), p.125

¹³ Elizabeth Bradburn *Margaret McMillan: Portrait of a Pioneer* (London: Routledge, 1989), p.17

¹⁴ Margaret McMillan, *Life of Rachel McMillan*, p.30

¹⁵ Lewisham Local History Library A94/7/29: news cutting from London Evening Standard '20 years work for children: Woman Pioneer on her work' 19th April 1929

'the real leaders are not here, they're on the other side. They are exhilarating! No gloom there. They walk in joy.'¹⁶

A further influence upon McMillan's work can be found within her membership of The Fabian Society¹⁷ which, at that point in its development, could be construed as a highly elitist 'talking shop' for principally London-based, left-leaning intellectuals. Leading Fabian Edward Pease made it clear in his *History of the Fabian Society* published in 1916 that he was focused upon ideas that came from the London elite: '...the brain of the Empire, where reside the leaders in politics and in commerce, in literature, in journalism and in art'.¹⁸ It should be noted that education and teaching did not get a mention within this list, and that the roll-call of famous Fabians from McMillan's era reveals a cohort of intellectuals/ political activists, for example poet Rupert Brooke, suffragette Emmeline Pankhurst, first Labour Prime Minister Ramsay MacDonald, socialist activist Annie Beasant, authors George Bernard Shaw and H. G. Wells, and pioneer social scientists Beatrice and Sidney Webb.¹⁹ McMillan's origins were therefore principally religious and political rather than pedagogic, and this was destined to become a key issue in her later debates with her fellow members of the NSA.

In the last decade of the nineteenth century, McMillan built a reputation as a skilled political orator, initially drawing the attention of influential people within the fledgling British socialist movement by delivering a series of powerful speeches on the benefits of socialism at Hyde Park Corner.²⁰ Carolyn Steedman reported: 'by early 1893 McMillan had gained a considerable reputation as a propagandist and orator.'²¹ During this year, McMillan was offered a salaried position by the Independent Labour Party (ILP) in Bradford; this required an extensive programme of socialist lectures to audiences across Yorkshire and Lancashire.²² She was elected to the Bradford School Board as a representative of the ILP in November 1894, expanding her sphere of influence to an official role within the city.²³

Mary Davis opined: 'the ILP was inspired by ethical (sometimes Christian) socialism - a non-Marxist moral critique of capitalism which found its best expression in the work

¹⁶ University of Greenwich **A94/16/A1/72**: letter from Margaret McMillan to Robert Blatchford, 15th February 1929

¹⁷ Carolyn Steedman, 'Margaret McMillan', *Oxford Dictionary of National Biography*, <<http://www.oxforddnb.com/view/printable/34801>> [accessed 9th August 2011]

¹⁸ Pease, p.171. His reference to 'young men' is interesting in the light of the comment relating to Beatrice Webb above.

¹⁹ Fabian Society, Famous Fabians <http://www.fabians.org.uk/about/famous-fabians/> [Accessed 9th January 2015]

²⁰ Elizabeth Bradburn, *Margaret McMillan: Portrait of a Pioneer* p.32

²¹ Carolyn Steedman, *Childhood, Culture and Class in Britain: Margaret McMillan 1860-1931* (New Jersey: Rutgers University Press, 1990), p.35

²² Margaret McMillan, *Life of Rachel McMillan*, p.29

²³ Margaret McMillan, *Life of Rachel McMillan*, p.81

of Robert Blatchford.²⁴ Blatchford, a lapsed Fabian, was a highly influential mentor for Margaret McMillan, and, as an established writer and editor, he frequently published her articles in his journal *The Clarion*, launched in 1891.²⁵ Chris Waters reflected that English socialism in the late nineteenth century was of a singular construction, very much conceived and marketed by Blatchford: 'emotional outrage.... was more important in Blatchford's conversion than was..... Marxism. Indeed it was Blatchford's empathy for the poor, his sentimental desire to right all wrongs that characterised his socialism, even in its earliest days... the romantic critique of capitalism.'²⁶

In her work on the Bradford School Board, McMillan proved herself to be a remarkably active social reformer with a keen interest in child welfare, using her gifts of rhetoric to make highly effective critiques of the board's lack of engagement with issues relating to local children's health and well being. In 1947, Bradford-born author J. B. Priestley ironically reminisced that McMillan was 'one of those terrible nuisances who are always bringing up awkward subjects and making decent people uncomfortable.'²⁷ Her first biographer Albert Mansbridge, quoted her thus:

The state compels the children to work [in school]- it makes the demand for sustenance urgent, intolerable. But it does not compel parents to feed their children. Hence it is certain to some of these hungry little ones, free education is less of a boon than an outrage.²⁸

In 1906, in collaboration with her sister Rachel, McMillan led a deputation to Parliament to lobby for the compulsory medical inspection of school children.²⁹ This aim was subsequently realised in the Education (Administrative Procedures) Bill of 1907, and on the strength of this success, the sisters secured a substantial £5000 bursary from Joseph Fels, a wealthy Fabian socialist, with which they determined to open a school clinic in a suitably 'needy' area of London. The new clinic opened in Deptford on 21st June 1910, and the children began to 'arrive in torrents'.³⁰ The initiative quickly expanded into an experimental overnight 'camp' in the garden at the back of the house in which the clinic was located in an attempt to address the children's ongoing well-being through the provision of washing facilities, fresh air, play and nourishment. When one of the Night Camp girls was permitted to bring her ailing little sister along, and the child died a few months later, Emma Stevinson

²⁴ Mary Davis, Socialism. *TUC History Online* <http://www.unionhistory.info/timeline/1880_14_Narr_Display.php?Where=NarTitle+contains+%27Socialism%27+AND+DesPurpose+contains+%27WebDisplay%27> [accessed 28th July 2011]

²⁵ Stephen Yeo 'A New Life: The Religion of Socialism in Britain' *History Workshop* No 4 (1977) 5-56. (p.17)

²⁶ Chris Waters, 'William Morris and the Socialism of Robert Blatchford' <<http://www.morrissociety.org/JWMS/W82.5.2.Waters.pdf>> [accessed 4th January 2011] p.20

²⁷ Lewisham Local History Library: The Margaret McMillan Archive. A94/16/A7/69 Article 'The Nuisance who Worked Miracles' by J.B. Priestley. Newspaper cutting with no attribution to publication. Dated 27th June 1947

²⁸ Albert Mansbridge *Margaret McMillan: Prophet and Pioneer* (London: J.M. Dent, 1932), pp.41-42

²⁹ Margaret McMillan, *Life of Rachel McMillan*, p.115

³⁰ Margaret McMillan, *Life of Rachel McMillan*, p.120

reports that McMillan decreed: "We must open our doors to the toddlers..... we must plan the right kind of environment for them and give them sunshine, fresh air and good food *before* they become rickety and diseased."³¹ In 1914, the McMillans acquired additional local premises for a dedicated nursery from the London County Council.³² They soon established a familiar regime: cleanliness, sunshine, fresh air, good food and open access to an abundant garden. They scraped together more funds to build a number of open shelters attached to the main building facing the garden, and it was in these that the children were routinely housed.



*The Rachel McMillan Open Air Nursery School, showing a shelter in the background*³³

³¹ Emma Stevinson, *Margaret McMillan: Prophet and Pioneer*, (London: University of London Press, 1954), p.8. Stevinson was the first principal of the Rachel McMillan Teacher Training College.

³² Margaret McMillan, *The Camp School*, p.51

³³ Elizabeth Bradburn, *Margaret McMillan: Framework and Expansion of Nursery Education* (Redhill, Denholm Press 1976) picture adjacent to p.113

The Deptford nursery was a great local success during the war years of 1914-18, but sadly Rachel McMillan died in 1917.³⁴ Following the Armistice, a national and international interest began to coalesce around the newly christened 'Rachel McMillan Open Air Nursery School' and its holistic, outdoor oriented regime.³⁵ Through this rare practical demonstration of 'the romantic critique of capitalism',³⁶ Margaret and Rachel had managed to raise slum children with the potential to become healthy, competent workers, mothers and soldiers, and the whole nation was now listening avidly to what the surviving sister had to say. The Nursery was visited by a steady stream of dignitaries including Queen Mary, Rudolph Steiner, George Bernard Shaw, many MPs and the Prime Minister Stanley Baldwin who wrote to McMillan: 'I shall never forget my visit to you and to your children; it was a revelation'.³⁷ Carolyn Steedman reflected: 'McMillan's reworking of the post-Wordsworthian romantic child into a practical political project is probably her first claim on historical importance.'³⁸

The Rachel McMillan Nursery offered the opportunity for women interested in teaching and nurturing young children to train to become teachers and nursery nurses from its inception in 1914, an initiative which was officially supported and sanctioned by the LEA in 1919. McMillan's training methods clearly drew heavily upon her oratorical skills:

Miss McMillan's lectures were the highlight... we never knew beforehand the subject... but we knew it would be inspiring... we never knew if it would last for half an hour or two hours. We came prepared to stay for a long time and we certainly learned to concentrate. No one was allowed to fidget.³⁹

The 1918 Education Act stated a need for the creation of more nursery schools, and the aims of a nursery school were to include 'definite training—bodily, mental and social—involving the cultivation of good habits in the widest sense, under the guidance and oversight of a skilled and intelligent teacher' leading to a widespread focus on educating future teachers for young children. Further, this Act maintained that young children would be supported by 'women who possess qualifications and experience for the training and teaching of young children'. The government disseminated money to Local Education Authorities who were instructed to 'encourage persons in their [Nursery Schools] employment to obtain, if they do not

³⁴ Margaret McMillan, *Life of Rachel McMillan*, p.186

³⁵ University of Greenwich A94/16/ A1/86: letter from Margaret McMillan to 'Mr Mackenzie', 7th July 1930

³⁶ Waters, p.20

³⁷ Lewisham Local History Library A94/6/1/69: letter from Stanley Baldwin to Margaret McMillan, 20th December 1928.

³⁸ Steedman, 'Margaret McMillan', *Oxford Dictionary of National Biography* .

³⁹ University of Greenwich A94/16/A8/95: handwritten manuscript entitled 'Rachel MacMillan College: Yesterday, Today and Tomorrow', signed 'E Stevinson' (the first principal of the college), dated 1949

already possess, qualifications for work in elementary and other schools and departments for young children.’⁴⁰



Queen Mary visits the Deptford Nursery, 1921; Abigail Eliot (see below) is the adult second from left⁴¹

The national nursery schools agenda moved inevitably towards the formation of a national professional association. Consequently, the skills that had marked Margaret McMillan as an overwhelmingly popular Socialist orator,⁴² added to an impressive set of high profile connections extending into governance and monarchy, led to her nomination in 1923 for the inaugural presidency of the Nursery School Association.⁴³ Her ability, first demonstrated on the socialist platforms of London and Bradford, to harness the emotions of an audience with her vivid depictions of ‘a vision of health, joy and beauty in working lives to be demanded by the people themselves’⁴⁴ was to be put into service once again, this time on the behalf of the nation’s youngest

⁴⁰ Board of Education (England) Education Act 1918, 8 & 9 Geo.5. Ch.39. Available at: <http://www.educationengland.org.uk/documents/pdfs/1918-education-act.pdf>

⁴¹ Elizabeth Bradburn, Margaret McMillan: *Framework and Expansion of Nursery Education* (Redhill, Denholm House, 1976), picture adjacent to p.144

⁴² Yeo, p.25

⁴³ Viv Moriarty, *Margaret McMillan: ‘I learn, to succor the helpless’* (Nottingham: Educational Heretics Press, 1998), p.60

⁴⁴ Yeo, p.17

children. One of her closest colleagues in this venture was to be Grace Owen (1873-1965), who became the first Honorary Secretary to the NSA.⁴⁵

Owen is described by Gay Wilgus as ‘a pivotal figure at the City of Manchester training school for nursery school teachers [who] also played a key role in designing the NSA’s ‘suggested scheme of training’ for teachers’.⁴⁶ Manchester had an older tradition of nursery education than London, starting with Sir William Mather’s establishment of a free Kindergarten in Salford in 1873. Shortly afterwards, Mather founded the Manchester Kindergarten Association; the first association for nursery education in Britain.⁴⁷ His methods were based upon those of Friedrich Froebel (1785-1852) the originator of the term ‘kindergarten’ for the Play and Activity Institute he founded in 1837 at Bad Blankenburg in Germany. Froebel, influenced by the ideas of Johann Heinrich Pestalozzi (1746-1827), developed one of the first comprehensive pedagogical frameworks for young children: ‘he developed a child-centred approach and stressed active learning’.⁴⁸ The English Froebel Society was established in London in 1874, and continues to the present day as the National Froebel Foundation.⁴⁹ Owen trained in Froebelian methods at the Blackheath Kindergarten Training College, later taking a degree at the University of Columbia in the US, graduating in 1905. On her return to England, she joined the staff of the University of Manchester,⁵⁰ later becoming principal of the Manchester Kindergarten Training College, recognised in 1917 by the Board of Education as an endorsed supplier of teacher training. Owen became the Organizing Secretary of the Manchester and Salford Council for Day Nurseries and Nursery Schools,⁵¹ and in 1920, she created a ‘demonstration nursery school’ at 61 Shakespeare Street, Manchester.⁵²

Despite emerging from quite different professional backgrounds, McMillan’s religious and political compared to Owen’s pedagogical and academic, both women were members of the Froebel Society at the time of the founding of the NSA. While both ‘McMillan’s and Owen’s holistic views were arguably shaped by Froebel’s approach

⁴⁵ Jane Read, p.165

⁴⁶ Rebecca S. New and Moncrieff Cochran, *Early Childhood Education: An International Encyclopaedia*. (Westport CT: Praegar, 2007) pp.576-577

⁴⁷ London School of Economics BAECE 23/2: Pamphlet relating to the Mather and Shakespeare Street Nursery, 1920-1972

⁴⁸ Avril Brock, Pam Jarvis and Yinka Olusoga *Perspectives on Play: Learning for Life (2nd Edn*, Abingdon, Routledge, 2014), p.17

⁴⁹ Jane Read, p.16

⁵⁰ V. Celia Lascarides and Blythe F. Hinitz *History of Early Childhood Education (2nd Edn*, London, Routledge, 2011) p.118

⁵¹ Jane Read, *The Froebel Movement in Britain 1900-1939*, Unpublished PhD thesis, University of Roehampton (2011), p.61

⁵² London School of Economics BAECE 23/2: Pamphlet relating to the Mather and Shakespeare Street Nursery, 1920-1972

to young children's development',⁵³ both contributed to professional journals beyond *Child Life*, the Froebel Society journal of the time. This suggested 'a distancing from the Froebel Society, if not the broader movement'.⁵⁴ It was subtle differences within these rather flexible orientations to the Froebelian philosophy, emerging from McMillan and Owen's different routes into their positions on the NSA that was later to fuel the argument that arose between them.

Unembellished personal memories of the two women are difficult to find within the historical record. There is little information available with respect to Grace Owen, and Jane Read offers the opinion that biographies of McMillan based largely in living memories of her life and work, including those of Mansbridge (1932) Lowndes (1960) and Bradburn (1989) are, to a great extent 'hagiographic accounts'.⁵⁵ A further biography, written in 1954 by another McMillan disciple, Emma Stevinson, entitled *Margaret McMillan: Prophet and Pioneer* could also feasibly be added to this list.⁵⁶

There is however one less contrived source of living memories of both women in day-to-day practice; Abigail Eliot, who concluded her working life as the director of the Eliot-Pearson department of child study at Tufts University, Massachusetts, USA.⁵⁷ Eliot reported that she 'came from the US to 'work and study at the Rachel McMillan training centre for more than six months in 1921'. In 1960, she wrote vividly on the subject of Margaret McMillan as a professional mentor:

...Such sincerity, self-confidence and commitment... "treat each child as if he were your own".... a commanding manner and voice, she could frighten and dismay a young student. [She once] pointed with her imperious forefinger and said "Miss Eliot, don't do it that way, do it this"... these many years later I do not remember what [the directions] were, but I do remember that she was right; her way was better for the children.... When I asked her why it was better... she had no explanation. Her answer was "I just know it is the right way".... Miss McMillan was right and her conviction on the matter was so strong and emotionally charged that she could brook no discussion of the matter..... she said to me it was her sister Rachel's spirit hovering near and telling her what to do.'⁵⁸

During her time in England, Eliot also made a visit to Owen's Manchester 'demonstration' nursery, later proposing that she found Owen to be 'scientific and

⁵³ Jane Read, p.165

⁵⁴ Jane Read, p.164

⁵⁵ Jane Read p.48

⁵⁶ Emma Stevinson, *Margaret McMillan: Prophet and Pioneer*, (London: University of London Press, 1954)

⁵⁷ Abigail Eliot, director of the Eliot-Pearson department of child study at Tufts University http://www.concordlibrary.org/scollect/Fin_Aids/OH_Texts/eliot.html; she died in 1992, aged 100 <http://www.nytimes.com/1992/11/02/us/abigail-adams-eliot-100-dies-expert-in-nursery-school-training.html>

⁵⁸ University of Greenwich A94/16/A8/19: letter from Miss Abigail Eliot, Brooks School, Concord, Mass., USA, ND, but in response to a call for memories of Margaret McMillan in her centenary year, 1960.

broad minded',⁵⁹ a reflection possibly offered in comparison to her more critical orientation towards McMillan. While these accounts are of course not strictly objective, they do appear to represent genuine witness testimony upon each woman's day-to-day professional practice. In these, Eliot draws pen portraits that contrast Owen's professional, pedagogic orientation with McMillan's emotional, spiritually driven conviction. Such differences between the two women can thence be inductively traced into their uneasy relationship within the NSA, and into the eventual disagreement that led to McMillan's resignation from her post; an argument that emanated from a disjuncture between Owen's 'head' and McMillan's 'heart' oriented approaches, creating an incompatibility that marred the fledgling association's attempts to create a cohesive national policy for British nurseries.

The Battle to Define an Agenda for the Modern British Nursery

The NSA had a significant number of Froebelians in its ranks but the organisation appealed to a wider range of women interested in nursery education, possibly because it did not have a unique pedagogical label and was not associated with an élite, as the Froebel Society was.⁶⁰

The first order of business for the NSA was to create guidelines for nursery teacher education in England, and although McMillan agreed with and supported the need for high quality education for young children, particularly those living in poverty, she soon found that she had quite a few differences with the mainstream, and that her approach to educating their teachers differed from the general consensus held by members of the NSA. As Steedman argues, 'McMillan's insistence on economies of scale and a schooling that acknowledged the pattern of working-class life brought her into conflict with the [NSA]'.⁶¹

McMillan felt that tolerance of the inadequate nursery classes of the time would so endanger the future of Nursery Schools, that they must be condemned outright, as indeed they were by her on more than one public occasion. This unfortunate difference of outlook between the President and the majority of the Nursery School Association Committee caused frequent misunderstanding of the policy of the Association⁶²

Many of McMillan's 'unorthodox' beliefs emerged from a different, politically rather than pedagogically focused 'elite', rooted in the left-wing intellectual activism that emerged from the Fabian Society. Additionally, her Christian Socialist insistence upon neighbourly relationships with local families was so entrenched that she planned her student teachers' accommodation to be adjacent to the Deptford

⁵⁹ Lascarides and Hinitz, p.118

⁶⁰ Jane Read, p.172

⁶¹ Steedman, C. Margaret McMillan, *Oxford Dictionary of National Biography* (2004-2014). Oxford: Oxford

⁶² Nursery School Association. *Historical Record of the Nursery School Association of Great Britain from 1923-1944*, [by Grace Owen and Margaret Eggar], London: NSA, 1944. British Library of Political and Economic Science, London School of Economics

nursery, proposing: 'Our students have to know their new neighbours. They have to get some idea of housing, of the cost of food, and the needs of a family who live always on the brink of a financial precipice!'⁶³ However, the members of the NSA stated:

We are looking for a steady increase of Nursery Schools throughout the country. When these new schools are started their success in any true sense will depend (even more than in schools of an older tradition) on actual teachers who work in them. It is natural enough that at present few people outside the workers themselves know very much about what the internal working of a nursery school must be if it is to prove of lasting value to the children. It is therefore of critical importance to the future of the nursery school movement that wise measure be taken now to insure the necessary proportion of skilled and trained workers.⁶⁴

So, while McMillan was looking for practitioners who understood the culture of the area surrounding the nursery, the NSA were focused upon the immersion of trainees within the culture of the nursery itself. This clash of 'outside-in' versus 'inside-out' perspectives, added to an exclusive focus upon the needs of children from socio-economically deprived homes, who required a focus on care, or what McMillan termed 'nurture'⁶⁵ before they could be effectively educated, lay at the heart of many of McMillan's differences with the NSA mainstream.

McMillan was fervent about the importance of sound training, as she felt that young children were being 'cheated' by being subjected to inadequately trained teachers. In her opinion, two years with two teaching practices was insufficient; she firmly believed that three years training which principally comprised mentored practice in the nursery environment should be compulsory.⁶⁶ She was consequently quoted in the minutes of an NSA meeting addressing the creation of a standardised teacher training programme as not supporting or agreeing to the two-year course of study favoured by the majority, including Grace Owen.⁶⁷

Candidate suitability for nursery teacher training was also a bone of contention between McMillan and the NSA. McMillan's focus on her particular brand of nurture led her to the opinion that neither teachers nor nurses were properly trained for the highly varied role that practice in the Deptford nursery required:

After sad experience we gave up nurses and turned to teachers. Then came new revelations. Even the trained and certified enter school with only three to

⁶³ Margaret McMillan, *The Nursery School* (London: J. M. Dent and Sons, 1919) , p.109.

⁶⁴ London School of Economics, BAECE 13/4, NSA (1924) The Nursery School Association letter to the Rt. Hon. Charles P. Trevelyan —Minister of Education Spring 1924.

⁶⁵ ProQuest Historical Newspapers: online *Manchester Guardian* 'Miss Margaret McMillan on Old Traditions' 22nd May 1930

⁶⁶ McMillan, M. (1927). *The Life of Rachel McMillan*. London: J.M. Dent and Sons Ltd.

⁶⁷ London School of Economics, BAECE 13/4, Summary of meeting of the Nursery School Association, Saturday 3rd January 1925

six weeks in teaching..... those who came first were shocked; they had never seen the inside of a slum home....⁶⁸

One of her students reported:

[Margaret McMillan] described to me the ideal type of student.... she said that she had tried nurses and teachers but neither was just what was needed...’ She had decided that a new type of training was required... We had constant practice... Miss McMillan believed that theory should be put into practice while remembered.⁶⁹

The NSA statement of policy in 1925 stipulated one trained teacher to 35 children;⁷⁰ however in a bulletin from the NSA circulated in 1927 Margaret McMillan reiterated her personal position, advocating a ‘new method of staffing and building’, which presumably refers to the ‘shelters’ organisation of her Deptford nursery, explaining that 150 children could be supervised by only ‘one trained teacher per shelter’ as long as there were plenty of untrained helpers.⁷¹ An NSA Bulletin produced in 1936 contains a 1930 quote from Grace Owen proposing an alternative viewpoint; that ‘the nursery class should function as a small nursery.’ An additional note in her handwriting clearly reiterates the formula of 1 teacher plus two helpers to 35 children contained in the NSA policy document of 1925.⁷² Within the 1936 bulletin, Owen makes the point that the organisation of nurseries must recognise ‘the type of accommodation provided [and]... must necessarily vary with the local circumstances.’⁷³ McMillan’s stance on the use of non-teaching staff in nurseries was somewhat at odds with her socialist principles, in her description of such workers as ‘thousands of girls who need not and will not enter the teachers’ trade union, with only one teacher at the head of each shelter... [without such workers] all nurturance goes by the board.’⁷⁴ Her firm emphasis was upon the requirement for a high ratio of adults to children in order to ensure that the children’s physical and emotional needs were effectively addressed, and the most realistic way to do this in terms of prudent financial management was to ensure a rigorous training for nursery teachers, preparing them to act as executive managers within the nursery.

⁶⁸ Lewisham Local History Library A94/2/10A: handwritten A4 sheet by Margaret McMillan, no title, ND, appears to be a fragment from a draft article, refers to ‘now in 1924’.

⁶⁹ University of Greenwich A94/16/A8/28: letter (ND) from Jessie Porter, student teacher at the McMillan Nursery School 1917-1920.

⁷⁰ London School of Economics BAECE 24/1, Statement of Policy from the Nursery School Association, 1925

⁷¹ London School of Economics BAECE 24/1, Bulletin of the Nursery School Association dated 1927

⁷² London School of Economics BAECE 24/1, note in Grace Owen’s handwriting, nd

⁷³ London School of Economics BAECE 24/1, Bulletin of the Nursery School Association, nd, but refers to a recently issued pamphlet in 1936.

⁷⁴ London School of Economics BAECE 24/1, First bulletin of the Nursery School Association, nd

Here we see the dichotomy between Owen's concept of a nursery *education* and McMillan's concept of *nurture* within the nursery environment emerging from the record. For McMillan, the core work of the nursery was nurture, which could be supplied by untrained workers, providing that they were sufficiently 'motherly', under the direction of a highly trained teacher. In contrast, for Owen, the pedagogy delivered by trained teaching staff was the central purpose of the nursery. This was a complex difference of emphasis, as while both women would no doubt have agreed that both pedagogy and nurture were important factors within the nursery, their emphases were very different. As such, this quickly became a fundamental sticking point, preventing the construction of a cohesive NSA policy for practice within the twentieth century nursery.

In a NSA meeting held on 3rd January 1925, H. Ward, a member of the Board of Education stated that:

We must be very careful to have teachers properly trained for this important period of school life. A girl with a secondary education and a motherly heart is not enough. At this age we have the great habit-forming period, and the younger the child is, the more rapid is his intellectual growth. This, then requires the skill of the wisest and best teachers we have.⁷⁵

McMillan spoke in response to this statement and was summarised in the minutes as having said that

...All the members [of the NSA] had the same object in view—the ideal education of the child under five. In her opinion, however, the nursery class was in danger, vitiating the real aim and refusing the very people, who with widely differing qualifications, might as students help in the work. The nursery *school* needed an attendant to every six children, and it needed to have large numbers of children, with students of every type under trained teachers to provide the right care and adequate culture at a reasonable cost. She considered the nursery *class* an extravagant investment failing to provide a good return.⁷⁶

Her focus on the practice within her Deptford-based nursery is clear in this reply, and her entrenched objection to nursery classes within infant schools becomes more understandable when viewed in this light; she saw such a development as what we might nowadays term 'schoolification', therefore incompatible with her more holistic 'nurture' principles.

McMillan began to develop a particular distaste for the operation of nursery education in Manchester, which largely ran via nursery classes within infant schools. This of course, was the city over which Owen presided as the organising secretary for nursery education. Abigail Eliot experienced McMillan's extreme displeasure when she learned that Miss Eliot had arranged a visit to a nursery class in a Manchester elementary school 'on the grounds I would learn nothing from it.

⁷⁵ London School of Economics BAECE 13/5 Ward, H. (1925) Official letter written to Grace Owen by member of the Board of Education.

⁷⁶ London School of Economics BAECE 13/5 Summary of meeting of the Nursery School Association, Saturday 3rd January 1925.

Because of Miss McMillan's disapproval I took only one working day off, but I went... it was an important part of my English education in regard to nursery schools'.⁷⁷

Some correspondence between Manchester-based Shena D. Simon and Grace Owen in early 1929 indicates the extent of the split in the ranks of the NSA. Simon writes that she is 'very interested' in the NSA but wants to know whether 'it will be made quite clear that "nursery school" includes "nursery class." She continues:

Some people associated with the nursery school movement are definitely hostile to them [nursery classes]..... I should not like to be helping an operation that would be in any way hostile to properly constituted nursery classes.⁷⁸

Owen replied the following day:

I think I understand your question perfectly.... the president of our association is openly hostile to nursery classes... the NSA is however as stated in its constitution... pledged to work for the effective working of nursery school classes in the Education Act of 1918..... I heartily endorsed the nursery school policy of the Manchester Education Committee of a few years ago.... [which was] nursery school departments in new schools.⁷⁹

McMillan was also carrying on a feisty private correspondence about her disagreements within the NSA. The week after the exchange between Simon and Owen, McMillan wrote to Robert Blatchford:

I mean to give 'em a shock- all my enemies. The Froebelians who hate me and the teachers who fight me and the patriots who denounce me. The rank and file of Labour is with me, if they understand.... Now I am battling for a nine hour day for nursery school children. We open at a quarter to eight. We close at five thirty. It is not much use to little ones to rattle them in and out of school as they do. They need nurture. You can't give it in five hours..... Such humbug. It is such a mean substitute....⁸⁰

In this, McMillan draws clear battle lines between her 'emotionally outraged'⁸¹ socialist principles ('the rank and file of Labour') and the position of the education professionals epitomised by Grace Owen ('the Froebelians who hate me and the teachers who fight me'), laying bare the conceptual roots of her disagreement with the mainstream NSA.

⁷⁷ University of Greenwich A94/16/A8/19: letter from Miss Abigail Eliot, Brooks School, Concord, Mass., USA, ND.

⁷⁸ London School of Economics BAECE 13/6, letter dated 11th February 1929 from Shena D. Simon to Grace Owen.

⁷⁹ London School of Economics BAECE 13/6, letter dated 12th February 1929 from Grace Owen to Shena D. Simon.

⁸⁰ University of Greenwich A94/16/A1/74: letter from Margaret McMillan to Robert Blatchford, 20th February 1929- underlining present in original document

⁸¹ Chris Waters, p.20

There are inevitably gaps in the record relating to the spiralling disagreement between McMillan and the mainstream policy of the NSA, but what is clear is that on 16th April 1929, McMillan formally wrote to Owen, addressing her as the Hon. Secretary to the NSA, with a brief message of an intention to resign from the presidency of the NSA, stating that a further letter will follow after which the resignation is to take effect 'forthwith.'⁸² On 7th May, the NSA responded, requesting further information on the reasons relating to this decision,⁸³ and on 18th May McMillan submitted her letter of resignation.⁸⁴ Between times, on 8th May she wrote a letter to founder NSA member and fellow South London nursery principal Lillian de Lissa warning her of the decision to resign as president, professing 'admiration' for de Lissa, Owen and Everlegh and stating that there should be no bad feeling within the NSA.⁸⁵ On 18th May, McMillan wrote to de Lissa again, informing her that it had been 'quite a trial resigning from the presidentship [sic].'⁸⁶ On 20th June, the NSA discussed McMillan's resignation and temporarily replacing her as president with de Lissa⁸⁷. On 21st June McMillan wrote to Owen that the NSA episode had left her with 'a sense of failure and regret.'⁸⁸

Some clues about the specific content of a recent McMillan-Owen disagreement can be found in the letter from the NSA to McMillan on 7th May 1929:

...It would appear that you disapprove of our notes for speakers, which you state to be "in breach of confidence"... You will remember that at our meeting of 22nd September at which you were present Miss Owen was instructed in her capacity as press secretary to prepare for publication.... without consultation with the officers. She was given carte blanche provided that she did not exceed the published policy of the committee. Miss Owen has faithfully observed this instruction... We should like to know what particular aspect of it [the notes for speakers] you take exception.⁸⁹

⁸² London School of Economics BAECE 13/8, letter dated 16th April 1929 from Margaret McMillan to Grace Owen.

⁸³ London School of Economics BAECE 13/9, letter dated 7th May 1929 from the NSA to Margaret McMillan (no signature present)

⁸⁴ London School of Economics BAECE 13/9, letter dated 18th May 1929 from Margaret McMillan to the NSA

⁸⁵ London School of Economics BAECE 13/8, letter dated 8th May 1929 from Margaret McMillan to Lillian de Lissa

⁸⁶ London School of Economics BAECE 13/9, letter dated 18th May 1929 from Margaret McMillan to Lillian de Lissa

⁸⁷ London School of Economics BAECE 13/8, Sheet entitled 'resignation of Miss McMillan' dated 21st June 1929

⁸⁸ London School of Economics BAECE 13/9, letter from Margaret McMillan to Grace Owen, dated 20th June 1929

⁸⁹ London School of Economics BAECE 13/9, letter dated 7th May 1929 from the NSA to Margaret McMillan (no signature present)

McMillan's position is further elaborated upon in the notes of the NSA discussion of the McMillan resignation on 20th June:

...Miss McMillan stated that her resignation was "the result of a growing recognition that I am not in your movement. The salvation of the children of the poorest class will not come through the school that is advocated by the NSA"... [she proposed that] the statement of the principles of the NSA (1925) was never properly discussed by the members.⁹⁰

McMillan's Christian Socialist mission had focused her sights primarily upon the nursery as a remedy for social disadvantage, and this agenda became increasingly at odds with the professionalised, pedagogy-driven policy direction emanating from the NSA. The *Manchester Guardian* reported on 22nd May 1930:

Margaret McMillan addressed an open meeting... on the subject of 'Nursery Schools'. ... Miss McMillan... described very trenchantly the nature of the problem [in Manchester] emphasising that the age of 2 was immensely more important than any age that followed it. A child's destiny was practically settled by the age of 5. If you wanted to give nurture you must give it properly or not waste the nation's money.....⁹¹

In 1927 McMillan publicly iterated her frustration with teachers: 'teachers, isolated as a profession.... do not touch the lives of the mothers in poor streets... they do not even live in the neighbourhood... they visit it for a few hours every day and see the children in masses'.⁹² McMillan's immersion within the uncompromising culture of her religious and political mission clearly placed her at odds with the Frobelian pedagogues who dominated policy construction within the NSA. Her substantial previous experience as a highly successful 'propagandist and orator... [with] a reputation for a charismatic and highly effective platform performance'⁹³ must have added to her frustration at such sustained opposition to her passionate appeals. As Jane Read reflects:

McMillan seemed to have qualities in line with the Weberian conception of charismatic personalities.... however, the opposition to McMillan within the NSA presents a challenge to [the] argument that charismatic leaders reduce their followers to acolytes lacking agency.⁹⁴

It is certainly clear from the historical record that Millan's abilities in the areas of oracy and rhetoric were extremely impressive, and Abigail Elliott's testimonies indicate that the way that she communicated her position 'a commanding manner

⁹⁰ London School of Economics BAECE 13/8, Sheet entitled 'resignation of Miss McMillan' dated 20th June 1929

⁹¹ ProQuest Historical Newspapers: online *Manchester Guardian* 'Miss Margaret McMillan on Old Traditions' 22nd May 1930

⁹² Margaret McMillan, *Life of Rachel McMillan*, p.197-198.

⁹³ Carolyn Steedman, *Childhood, Culture and Class in Britain: Margaret McMillan 1860-1931* (New Jersey: Rutgers University Press, 1990), p.35

⁹⁴ Jane Read, p.164

and voice.... strong and emotionally charged'⁹⁵ contrasted with Owen's cooler 'scientific and broad minded' orientation.⁹⁶ Indeed, it should be noted that McMillan's propagandist skills first brought her to the attention of the Independent Labour movement, and consequently set her on the path to her later achievements. In the first NSA bulletin these skills are clearly in evidence in the manner in which she promotes the practice within her Deptford nursery, simultaneously hinting at the inferiority of the infant school-based nursery class:

If I quote from the reports of only one school it is because there is alas no other large open air nursery in existence... yes, this is beautiful... everyone feels it who comes here... compare it with the forbidding heavy wall of our prison-like schools... [there are] few days in the year when the Sun God does not come for a while... we let Him bring His great healing joy to our children.'⁹⁷

She also adds to the impact by passionately evoking the memory of her dead sister, Rachel 'the first great worker for the open air nursery school [who] laid down her life in Deptford', further informing the reader that 'Rachel designed the first shelter'.⁹⁸ It is highly likely that those within the NSA who opposed McMillan's uncompromising position would have been no match for her in this respect, but in the end, it was their greater willingness to pragmatically align their construction of the maternalist agenda with the very worldly concerns of the mainstream political milieu that endured; a strategy to which Owen subsequently resorted in her dealings with Miriam Lord over the 'Bradford milk' incident.

Conclusion: The Legacy of Margaret McMillan

It would appear that McMillan made one final, indirect attempt to induce the NSA to bend to her will. A letter from Richard M. Philby from the Department of Health dated 28th August 1929 appears in the NSA archive, referring to McMillan's resignation as a 'most unfortunate matter... a great pity that this has arisen.' He continues 'I would personally like to see it [the NSA] called the Open Air Nursery School Association [to] keep prominently in mind the ideals of nurture which Miss McMillan has so constantly followed. What do you think of this suggestion?'⁹⁹ No reply exists on the record, but it would appear that the NSA was *not* in agreement, as their name was never amended as suggested! Although there is no concrete evidence, it is highly possible that McMillan herself had some hand in eliciting such a communication.

By March 1930 however, McMillan appeared to have resigned herself to the idea that the NSA battle had been lost. In a letter she wrote to Grace Owen, apparently

⁹⁵ University of Greenwich A94/16/A8/19: letter from Miss Abigail Eliot

⁹⁶ ⁹⁶ Lascarides and Hinitz, p.118

⁹⁷ London School of Economics BAECE 24/1, First bulletin of the Nursery School Association, nd

⁹⁸ London School of Economics BAECE 24/1, First bulletin of the Nursery School Association, nd

⁹⁹ London School of Economics BAECE 13/9, letter from Richard M. Philby, Department of Health to the NSA, dated 28th August 1929

refusing an invitation to write a chapter on outdoor nursery accommodation in a book that Owen was editing on the behalf of the NSA, McMillan states:

I would do anything to help the open air nursery school movement, but in obscuring my sister's and my own [sic] you are really hindering it.... If I wrote in your book I should be perhaps in conflict with other writers... I will not be in conflict at all.... I have learned my lesson and will not ally myself again.¹⁰⁰

A few days prior to writing this letter, McMillan had engaged in a further heated debate on the topic of nursery classes versus nursery schools through the letter pages of the *Manchester Guardian*. In response to a criticism from Owen's earlier correspondent, Shena D. Simon opining that: 'although Miss McMillan has never visited one of the Manchester nursery classes, she does not hesitate to attack....'¹⁰¹ McMillan wrote:

What we have got instead of the open air nursery school and its sharp thrust into the nest of evil things that rot and destroy our race is a substitute- that is a nursery class grafted on to an infant school. A diluted new wine safely landed in the new bottle... to begin with the 2 year old is dropped altogether... also 3 meals have gone. The long nurture day- nine hours- has vanished. The great and powerful services are represented of course: the medical and the teaching services. But they are present under circumstances that make their work barren and fruitless.¹⁰²

The reference to 'destroy our race' was clearly an emotive appeal to a popular socio-political belief of the time; that of 'the value of a healthy and numerous population as a national resource.'¹⁰³

The argument was abruptly curtailed less than a year later by the final illness and death of McMillan. The NSA archive records that some 'spring flowers' were sent to McMillan from the Association on 16th February 1931. A note explains that they are sent 'with our love...to express deep concern we all felt on hearing of your illness.'¹⁰⁴ McMillan died six weeks later on 29th March 1931. It is clear that McMillan's enduring posthumous fame utterly eclipsed all of her contemporaries within the NSA and this process began almost immediately; for example Jane Read postulates that McMillan was 'designated a "prophetess" by LCC Inspectors Philip Ballard, Gwendolen Sanson and Miss E. Stevenson' in evidence to the Hadow Committee of 1933.¹⁰⁵

¹⁰⁰ London School of Economics BAECE 13/9, letter from Margaret McMillan to Grace Owen, dated 1st March 1930

¹⁰¹ ProQuest Historical Newspapers: online, letter from Shena D. Simon to The *Manchester Guardian* letters page 29th January 1930

¹⁰² ProQuest Historical Newspapers: online, letter from Margaret McMillan to The *Manchester Guardian* letters page, 26th February 1930

¹⁰³ Anna Davin 'Imperialism and Motherhood', *History Workshop* 5 (1978) 9-65.

¹⁰⁴ London School of Economics BAECE 13/9, copy of a note sent to Margaret McMillan from the NSA on a bunch of 'spring flowers' 16th February 1931

¹⁰⁵ Jane Read p.48

While McMillan's gift for polemic and propaganda transcended her physical demise, however, the Owen's professional, conciliatory approach inspired no such accolades, thus consigning her to a 'supporting role' in the history of nursery education; while Owen won the battle, fresh, posthumous memories of McMillan's compelling appeals on the behalf of the nation's deprived infants won the war, in terms of enduring legacy. McMillan and Owen's very different constructions of the purpose of a nursery were both broadly located within a maternalist paradigm, but, as Koven posits, 'a generic description of "maternalist" female reformers.... mask(s) a wide range of agendas'.¹⁰⁶

As the dust around nursery education's niche within the wider national culture began to settle, all debates ongoing within the 'bubble' of maternalism were eclipsed by far stronger influences emanating from the national and international socio-political arena with which Owen's less confrontational approach was more compatible. This is vividly illustrated by the case of Miriam Lord and the 'Bradford milk' incident. Despite the place in history that McMillan secured, her grand plan of a network of nurturant nursery schools throughout the UK, based within an agenda promoting social equality never came to pass. Instead, Owen's pedagogically-focused nursery classes became the conventional face of nursery education that was carried into the later years of the twentieth century.

The fortunes of nursery education briefly waxed in the war years of 1939-1945, then waned over a period of fifty three years before moving back onto the agenda of the New Labour government 1997-2010 in the National Childcare Strategy of 1998 'in the context of workfarism [and]... lucrative childcare markets.'¹⁰⁷ A new clash of agendas quickly emerged from two different impetuses: the requirement to provide the type of care-oriented framework that McMillan would have termed 'nurture' for children from socio-economically deprived families, and a growing impetus to meet a demand for progressive, intensely educative settings for young children from aspirational middle class families in which both parents were working long hours within the post-modern, service driven economy of the early twenty-first century. The dichotomy between the nurturant 'heart' and the pedagogical 'head' thence re-emerged, long after the deaths of both Owen and McMillan and the disappearance of their tangled debate from living memory. Peter Moss recently described the current situation:

Split between 'childcare' and 'early education', with a fragmented and incoherent patchwork of services, and combining high cost to parents with a poorly paid and poorly qualified workforce: we find ourselves in a hole, and don't seem to know what to do.....¹⁰⁸

The free play and continuous provision that emerged from Grace Owen's Frobelian legacy is still in evidence within the vast majority of contemporary nursery classes,

¹⁰⁶ Seth Koven and Sonya Michael, *Mothers of a New World*. (London: Routledge 1993), p.125

¹⁰⁷ Chris Grover 'The National Childcare Strategy: The social regulation of lone mothers as a gendered reserve army of labour', *Capital & Class*. vol. 29 (2005) 1 63-90

¹⁰⁸ Peter Moss. (2014) Our youngest children deserve better than a fragmented patchwork of services IOE London Blog: <http://ioelondonblog.wordpress.com/category/peter-moss/>

and within the highly pedagogically focused English national framework for nursery education, the *Early Years Foundation Stage*.¹⁰⁹ It can however also be argued that McMillan's innovative practice was the direct ancestor of the New Labour children's centre concept. Her trenchant focus upon high adult-child ratios, and nurturant role of practitioners within the nursery, including an understanding of the lives of local families not only pre-empted but extended concepts of working in partnership with families that would not resurface in Britain until nearly a century later, initially in local movements such as the Northampton-based Pen Green project¹¹⁰, and later within the English policy document of 2003, *Birth to Three Matters*.¹¹¹ In this sense, it can be argued that McMillan was indeed to some extent a 'prophet',¹¹² and that her legacy to English nursery education and care policy and practice retains its currency following the centenary year of her Deptford nursery.¹¹³

8952 words excluding references and abstract.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Primary Sources:

Archival Material

Lewisham Local History Library: The Margaret McMillan Archive

A13: Honour to a Brave Woman, East Ward Advertiser and City Reporter, Bradford; September 1903 (date handwritten)

A94/2/10A: handwritten A4 sheet by Margaret McMillan

A94/6/1/69: letter from Stanley Baldwin to Margaret McMillan, 20th December 1928.

A94/7/4: Bradford School Board: Resignation of a member, *Bradford Telegraph* 22nd October 1902

A94/7/8: news cutting from the *Bradford Telegraph* 12th June 1903

¹⁰⁹ Nursery World (2014) Practice Guide: Continuous Provision. Available at <http://www.nurseryworld.co.uk/continuous-provision> [Accessed 9th January 2015]

¹¹⁰ Margy Whalley, *Involving Parents in their Children's Learning* (London, Sage, 2007)

¹¹¹ DCSF Birth to Three Matters Guidelines (2003). Available at <http://www.foundationyears.org.uk/files/2012/04/Birth-to-Three-Matters-Booklet.pdf> [Accessed 10th January 2015]

¹¹² Emma Stevinson, *Margaret McMillan: Prophet and Pioneer*, (London: University of London Press, 1954)

¹¹³ Royal Borough of Greenwich, Rachel McMillan Nursery celebrates 100th birthday: <http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20130401151715/https://www.education.gov.uk/publications/eOrderingDownload/BIRTHCD-PDF1.pdf>

A94/7/8: news cutting from the *Yorkshire Daily Observer* 12th June 1903

A94/7/29: news cutting from the *London Evening Standard* '20 years work for children: Woman Pioneer on her work'. 19th April 1929

A94/16/A7/69 Article 'The Nuisance who Worked Miracles' by J.B. Priestley. Newspaper cutting with no attribution to publication. Dated 27th June 1947.

A94/16/12/107: Margaret McMillan with the Queen and students at the opening of the Rachel McMillan Teacher Training College, 8th May 1930

London School of Economics: The Nursery School Association Archive

BAECE 13/4, draft letter in Grace Owen's handwriting, n.d, no recipient

BAECE 13/4, minutes of an NSA meeting on 3rd January 1925.

BAECE 13/5, Ward, H. Official letter written to Grace Owen by member of the Board of Education, H. Ward, 1925.

BAECE 13/6, letter dated 11th February 1929 from Shena D. Simon to Grace Owen

BAECE 13/6, letter dated 12th February 1929 from Grace Owen to Shena D. Simon.

BAECE 13/8, letter dated 16th April 1929 from Margaret McMillan to Grace Owen.

BAECE 13/8, letter dated 8th May 1929 from Margaret McMillan to Lillian de Lissa

BAECE 13/8, Sheet entitled 'resignation of Miss McMillan' dated 20th June 1929

BAECE 13/8, Sheet entitled 'resignation of Miss McMillan' dated 21st June 1929

BAECE 13/9, letter dated 7th May 1929 from the NSA to Margaret McMillan

BAECE 13/9, letter dated 18th May 1929 from Margaret McMillan to the NSA

BAECE 13/9, letter dated 18th May 1929 from Margaret McMillan to Lillian de Lissa

BAECE 13/9, letter from Margaret McMillan to Grace Owen, dated 20th June 1929

BAECE 13/9, letter from Richard M. Philby, Department of Health to the NSA, dated 28th August 1929

BAECE 13/9, letter from Margaret McMillan to Grace Owen, dated 1st March 1930

BAECE 13/9, copy of a note sent to Margaret McMillan from the NSA on a bunch of 'spring flowers' 16th February 1931

BAECE 23/2: pamphlet relating to the Mather and Shakespeare Street Nursery, 1920-1972

BAECE 24/1, First bulletin of the Nursery School Association, nd

BAECE 24/1, Statement of Policy from the Nursery School Association, 1925

BAECE 24/1, note in Grace Owen's handwriting, nd

BAECE 24/1, Bulletin of the Nursery School Association dated 1927

BAECE 24/1, Bulletin of the Nursery School Association, nd, but refers to a recently issued pamphlet in 1936.

Historical Record of the Nursery School Association of Great Britain from 1923-1944, [by Grace Owen and Margaret Eggar], London: NSA, 1944. British Library of Political and Economic Science, London School of Economics

University of Greenwich: The Margaret McMillan Archive

(A94/3) "The Schools of Tomorrow" pamphlet written by Margaret McMillan dated 1918

A94/16/A1/11: handwritten letter from Robert Morant to Margaret McMillan 28th October 1907

A94/16/A1/14: handwritten letter from Robert Morant to Margaret McMillan, 4th September 1910

A94/16/A1/45: handwritten note 'strictly private' from George Newman, Chief Medical Officer to the UK Government's Board of Education 5th December 1918

A94/16/A1/53: letter from Margaret McMillan to Margaret Sutcliffe, 1922.

A94/16/A1/55: From Margaret McMillan, letter to her publisher, 28th December 1925

A94/16/A1/72: letter from Margaret McMillan to Robert Blatchford, 15th February 1929

A94/16/A1/73: letter from Margaret McMillan to Robert Blatchford, 18th February 1929

A94/16/A1/74: letter from Margaret McMillan to Robert Blatchford 20th February 1929

A94/16/A1/75: document in Margaret McMillan's handwriting, dated 29th April 1929.

A94/16/A1/83: letter from Margaret McMillan to Robert Blatchford, Christmas Morning 1929

A94/16/ A1/86: letter from Margaret McMillan to 'Mr Mackenzie', written 7th July 1930

A94/16/A1/92: handwritten letter on paper headed 11 Downing Street Whitehall, ND, signed Mrs Ethel Snowden.

A94/16/A1/ 98: letter from Mrs A. Abbott 92, Rectory Buildings Crossfield Street Deptford, ND

A94/16/A8/7: extract from Margaret McMillan's speech at the opening of the Rachel McMillan Teacher Training College 8th May 1930.

A94/16/A8/16: letter from Gladys Woodhams, Camp School ND

A94/16/A8/18: letter from Helen Edwards, Bradford, student 1923-26.

A94/16/A8/19: letter from Miss Abigail Eliot, Brooks School, Concord, Mass., USA, ND

A94/16/A 8/22: letter from F. Hawtry from Darlington, ND.

A94/16/A8//23: typewritten document attributed to Lillian de Lissa, ND

A94/16/A8/24: letter from Dorothy Lob, Camp School ND

A94/16/A8/28: letter from Jessie Porter, Dundee, student 1917-20 ND

A94/16/A8/30: handwritten note, no date or address, signed 'Mrs Stiggear'.

A94/16/A8/34: script of a programme broadcast by the BBC Home Service 27th November 1960

A94/16/A8/35: a manuscript marked 'Miss Davies' broadcast 7th June 1960

A94/16/A8/95: handwritten manuscript entitled 'Rachel MacMillan College: Yesterday, Today and Tomorrow', dated 1949

Books

Mansbridge, A. *Margaret McMillan: Prophet and Pioneer* (London: J.M. Dent, 1932).

Mayhew, H. *London Labour and the London Poor*, (First published in weekly editions over 1851-52; republished London: Wordsworth Classics 2008).

McMillan, M. *The Camp School* (London: George Allen and Unwin, 1917).

McMillan, M. *Early Childhood* (London: Swan Sonnenschein, 1900).

McMillan, M. *Education Through the Imagination* (London: Swan Sonnenschein, 1904).

McMillan, M. *Labour and Childhood* (London: Swan Sonnenschein, 1907).

McMillan, M. *The Child and the State* (Manchester: The National Labour Press, 1911).

McMillan, M. *The Nursery School* (London: J. M. Dent and Sons, 1919).

McMillan, M. *The Life of Rachel McMillan* (London: J. M. Dent and Sons, 1927).

Pease, E. *History of the Fabian Society*, (St Petersburg: Red and Black Publishers 1916).

Pember Reeves, M. *Round About a Pound a Week* (London: G. Bell and Sons, 1913).

Sims, G. *How the Poor Live* (London: Dodo Press, 1893).

Smith, N. A. and Douglas Wiggin, K. *Children's Rights* (London: Dodo Press, 1892).

Woodward, K. *Jipping Street* (London: Virago, 1928).

Journal Articles

Dendy, H. 'The Industrial Residuum'. *The Economic Journal* 3 (12) (1893), 600-616.

McMillan, M. 'After the Echoes of the Congress of School Hygiene', *The Labour Leader*, 30th August 1907.

Acts of Parliament

Board of Education (England) Education Act 1918, 8 & 9 Geo.5. Ch.39. Available at: <http://www.educationengland.org.uk/documents/pdfs/1918-education-act.pdf>

Board of Education (England) Education Act 1921, 11 & 12 Geo. 5. c. 51. Available at: <http://www.educationengland.org.uk/documents/acts/1921-education-act.html>

Multi-Media Sources

BBC London Archive, digital audio file: BBC Radio broadcast extract *Margaret McMillan: Portrait of a Pioneer*, 27th November 1960.

British Pathé Archive, video file: *Nursery Days!* Video Newsreel Film, 21st August 1939 <<http://www.britishpathe.com/record.php?id=11403>> [accessed 5th August 2011]

British Pathé Archive, video file: *Her Majesty the Queen opens the Rachel McMillan Teacher Training College*. Video Newsreel Film, 12th May 1930 <<http://www.britishpathe.com/record.php?id=8281>> [accessed 7th August 2011]

Newspaper Articles

Guardian Archive 'Independent Labour Party Conference', first published 14th January 1893. <<http://www.guardian.co.uk/politics/2010/jan/14/archive-independent-labour-party-conference>> [accessed: 4th January 2011]

ProQuest Historical Newspapers: online, letter from Shena D. Simon to the *Manchester Guardian* letters page 29th January 1930

ProQuest Historical Newspapers: online, letter from Margaret McMillan to The *Manchester Guardian* letters page 26th February 1930.

ProQuest Historical Newspapers: online, *Manchester Guardian* 'Miss Margaret McMillan on Old Traditions' 22nd May 1930

Secondary Sources

Books

- Bradburn, E. *Margaret McMillan: Framework and Expansion of Nursery Education* (Redhill, Denholm House, 1976).
- Brock, A., Jarvis, P. and Olusoga, Y., *Perspectives on Play: Learning for Life (2nd Edn, Abingdon, Routledge, 2014).*
- Bradburn, E. *Margaret McMillan: Portrait of a Pioneer* (London: Routledge, 1989).
- Davin, A. *Growing up Poor: Home, School and Street in London 1870-1914* (London: Rivers Oram, 1996).
- Fort, A. *Nancy: The Story of Lady Astor*. (London: Vintage 2013).
- Koven, K. and Michael S., *Mothers of a New World*. (London: Routledge 1993).
- Lascarides, V. C. and Hinitz, B. *History of Early Childhood Education* (Abingdon: Routledge, 2000)
- Lascarides, V. C. and Hinitz, B. *History of Early Childhood Education (2nd Edn Abingdon, Routledge, 2011)*
- Lowndes, G. A. N. *Margaret McMillan: The Children's Champion*. (London: Museum Press, 1960).
- McPhillips, K. *Joseph Burgess 1833-1934 and the founding of the Independent Labour Party* (Lampeter: Edward Mellen Press, Studies in British History Vol. 78, 2005).
- Moriarty, V. *Margaret McMillan: 'I learn, to succor the helpless'* (Nottingham: Educational Heretics Press, 1998).
- New, R. and Cochran, M. *Early Childhood Education: An International Encyclopaedia*. (Westport CT: Praegar, 2007)
- Steedman, C. *Childhood, Culture and Class in Britain: Margaret McMillan 1860-1931* (New Jersey: Rutgers University Press, 1990).
- Steedman, C. Margaret McMillan. *Oxford Dictionary of National Biography* (2004-2014). Oxford: Oxford.
- Stevinson, E. *Margaret McMillan: Prophet and Pioneer*, (London: University of London Press, 1954).
- Thane, P. *Happy families? History and Family Policy*. (London: British Academy Policy Centre, 2010).
- Welshman, J. 'School Meals and Milk in England and Wales, 1906-45'. *Medical History* 41: 6-29 (1997)
- Whalley, M. *Involving Parents in their Children's Learning* (London: Sage, 2007)

Journal Articles

Backstrom, P. N. 'The Practical Side of Christian Socialism in Victorian England'. *Victorian Studies* 6:4 (1963) 305-324.

Briggs, A. 'The Welfare State in Historical Perspective', *European Journal of Sociology* 2:2 (1961) 221-258.

Brehony, K. J. 'Lady Astor's Campaign for Nursery Schools in Britain 1930-1939: attempting to valorize cultural capital in a male-dominated field', *History of Education Quarterly* 49:2 (2009) 196-210

Davin, A. 'Imperialism and Motherhood', *History Workshop* 5 (1978) 9-65.

Gazeley, I. and Newell, A. 'Poverty in Britain in 1904: An Early Social Survey Rediscovered' *PRUS working paper no.38* (2007)
<<http://www.sussex.ac.uk/Units/PRU/wps/wp38.pdf>> [accessed: 26th July 2011].

Grover, C. 'The National Childcare Strategy: The social regulation of lone mothers as a gendered reserve army of labour', *Capital & Class*. vol. 29 (2005) 1 63-90

Herberg, W. 'The Christian Mythology of Socialism'. *The Antioch Review* 3 (1) (1943) 125-132.

Hirst, J. 'The growth of treatment through the school medical service 1908-18', *Medical History* 33 (1989) 318-342

Kirk, N., 'Traditional' working class culture and 'the rise of Labour: some preliminary Questions and observations'. *Social History* 16:2 (1991) 203-216.

Koven, S. and Michel, S. 'Womanly duties: maternalist policies and the origins of welfare states in France, Germany, Great Britain and the United States 1880-1920'. *American Historical Review* 95:4 (1990) 1076-1108.

Lewis, M. 'Impact of industrialisation: comparative study of child health in four sites from medieval and postmedieval England' *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 119 (2002) 211-233.

Lipset, S. M. 'Radicalism or Reformism: The Sources of Working-class Politics', *The American Political Science Review* 77:1 (1983) 1-18.

Munro, O. "Our Maggie" *The British Medical Journal*, (International Edition) 21/10/89, Vol. 299 Issue 6706, p1048

Ross, E. 'Survival Networks: Women's Neighbourhood Sharing in London before World War I'. *History Workshop* 15 (1983) 4-27.

Rowan, C. "Women in the Labour Party 1906-1920", *Feminist Review* 12 (1982) 74-41

Waters, C. 'William Morris and the Socialism of Robert Blatchford'
<<http://www.morrissociety.org/JWMS/W82.5.2.Waters.pdf>> [accessed: 4th January 2011].

Yeo, S. 'A New Life: The Religion of Socialism in Britain' *History Workshop* No 4 (1977) 5-56.

Unpublished PhD theses

Murray, R. *The development of nursery schools and child welfare policies and practices in Bradford from the 1890s to the 1950s with particular reference to the work of Miriam Lord*, Unpublished PhD thesis; University of Leeds (1993).

Read, J. *The Froebel Movement in Britain 1900-1939*, Unpublished PhD thesis, University of Roehampton (2011),

Websites

DCSF Birth to Three Matters Guidelines (2003). Available at
<http://www.foundationyears.org.uk/files/2012/04/Birth-to-Three-Matters-Booklet.pdf>
[Accessed 10th January 2015]

Concord Oral History Programme. Interview with Abigail Adams Eliot.
http://www.concordlibrary.org/scollect/Fin_Aids/OH_Texts/eliot.html [Accessed 1st August 2014]

Davis, M. Socialism. *TUC History Online* <
http://www.unionhistory.info/timeline/1880_14_Narr_Display.php?Where=NarTitle+contains+%27Socialism%27+AND+DesPurpose+contains+%27WebDisplay%27 >
[accessed: 28th July 2011].

Department of Education Archive, Birth to Three Matters Guidelines
<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20130401151715/https://www.education.gov.uk/publications/eOrderingDownload/BIRTHCD-PDF1.pdf> [accessed 29th April 2014]

Early Education Our History. <http://www.early-education.org.uk/about-us/our-history>
[accessed: 29th April 2014]

Fabian Society, Famous Fabians <http://www.fabians.org.uk/about/famous-fabians/>
[Accessed 9th January 2015]

New York Times, *Abigail Adams Eliot Obituary*, 2nd November 1992.
<http://www.nytimes.com/1992/11/02/us/abigail-adams-eliot-100-dies-expert-in-nursery-school-training.html> [Accessed 1st August 2014]

Nursery World (2014) Practice Guide: Continuous Provision. Available at
<http://www.nurseryworld.co.uk/continuous-provision> [Accessed 9th January 2015]

Moss, P. (2014) Our youngest children deserve better than a fragmented patchwork of services IOE London Blog: <http://ioelondonblog.wordpress.com/category/peter-moss/> Accessed 13th March 2014

Royal Borough of Greenwich, Rachel McMillan Nursery celebrates 100th birthday: <http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20130401151715/https://www.education.gov.uk/publications/eOrderingDownload/BIRTHCD-PDF1.pdf> Accessed 10th October 2014.

List of Figure Captions

Figure 1: The Rachel McMillan Open Air Nursery School, showing a shelter in the background¹¹⁴

Figure 2: Queen Mary visits the Deptford Nursery, 1921; Abigail Eliot is the adult second from left¹¹⁵

¹¹⁴ Elizabeth Bradburn, Margaret McMillan: *Framework and Expansion of Nursery Education* (Redhill, Denholm Press 1976) picture adjacent to p.113

¹¹⁵ Elizabeth Bradburn, Margaret McMillan: *Framework and Expansion of Nursery Education* (Redhill, Denholm House, 1976), picture adjacent to p.144